

Board Direction PL29S.248240

The submissions on this file and the Inspector's report were considered at a Board meeting held on June 13th 2017.

The Board decided to make a split decision, to

(1) grant permission (subject to conditions) for the construction of an extension to the side, and new dormer window to the rear, with internal modifications and all ancillary works

for the reasons and considerations marked (1) under and subject to the conditions set out below, and

(2) refuse permission for the proposed single storey extension to the rear

for the reasons and considerations marked (2) under.

(1) Reasons and Considerations.

Having regard to the nature and scale of the proposed development and to the pattern of development in the vicinity of the site, it is considered that the proposed development, as modified by the accompanying refusal order, and subject to compliance with the conditions set out below, would not seriously injure the residential amenities of neighbouring properties or the amenities of the area and would, therefore, be in accordance with the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

Conditions

1. The development shall be carried out and completed in accordance with the plans and particulars lodged with the application, except as may otherwise be required in order to comply with the following conditions. Where such conditions require details to be agreed with the planning authority, the developer shall agree such details in writing with the planning authority prior to commencement of development and the development shall be carried out and completed in accordance with the agreed particulars.

Reason: In the interest of clarity.

2. The proposed rear extension shall be omitted.

> **Reason:** In the interest of protecting the residential amenities of adjoining property.

3. The external finishes of the proposed extension shall be the same as those of the existing extended dwelling in respect of colour and texture.

Reason: In the interest of visual amenity.

4. Water supply and drainage arrangements including the disposal of surface water, shall comply with the requirements of the planning authority for such works and services.

Reason: In the interest of public health.

5. Site development and building works shall be carried out only between 0700 hours and 1800 hours Mondays to Fridays, between 0800 hours and 1400 hours on Saturdays and not at all on Sundays and public holidays. Deviation from these times will only be allowed in exceptional circumstances where prior written approval has been received from the planning authority.

Reason: In the interest of protecting the residential amenities of adjoining properties.

6. The construction of the development shall be managed in accordance with a Construction Management Plan, which shall be submitted to, and agreed in writing with, the planning authority prior to commencement of development. This plan shall provide details of intended construction practice for the development, including noise management measures and off-site disposal of construction/demolition waste.

Reason: In the interest of public safety and residential amenity.

(2) Reasons and Considerations.

Having regard to its scale and location adjoining the property to the north, and having regard to the extent of development already constructed on the subject site, including a single storey extension to the rear that immediately adjoins that property, if is considered that the proposed rear extension would be overbearing on the property to the north, and would seriously injure the residential amenities of that property by reason of undue levels of overshadowing. The proposed rear extension would, therefore, be contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

In deciding not to accept the Inspector's recommendation to grant permission for the rear extension subject to a condition modifying its design and extent, the Board was of opinion that the rear extension, even as modified, would seriously injure the residential amenities of adjoining property to the north, having regard to its location to the south of the neighbouring property and its location proximate to that

neighbouring property. The Board considered that a relocation of the entire extension more towards the south-east, so that its side wall would be in line with the existing side building line of the house, would ameliorate this impact, but considered it inappropriate to require such a material change by way of condition.

Board Member:		Date:	13 th June 2017
	Philip Jones	_	