

## Board Direction PL07.249047

The submissions on this file and the memorandum of the inspector dated 15<sup>th</sup> December 2017 were considered at a Board meeting held on 10<sup>th</sup> January 2018.

The Board was satisfied that there was adequate information on file, based on written submissions and oral hearing submissions, in order to decide the case and was satisfied that the re-opening of the hearing, as suggested as an option by the inspector, was not necessary or appropriate.

Taking into consideration the overall legislative provisions of section 138 (1) of the Planning and Development Act, 2000 (as amended), the Board decided to dismiss this appeal under section 138 (1)(b)(i) of the Planning and Development Act, 2000 for the following reasons and considerations.

## **Reasons and Considerations**

It is a requirement of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended) that in order to make a 'third party' planning appeal to An Bord Pleanála, the appellant must state their name and address. This is an important requirement for protecting the integrity of the planning system. In response to the appeal in this case, the applicant for development raised concerns as to whether the appellant was in fact a bona-fide person living at the address given. The appellant has been offered opportunities in writing and at an oral hearing to address these concerns but has failed to confirm his identity and address to the satisfaction of the Board. In these circumstances the Board considered that it would be inappropriate to continue to consider the appeal, and considered that the appeal ought to be dismissed.

Board Member		Date:	12 <sup>th</sup> January 2018
	Conall Boland		