

Board Direction PL17.249416

The submissions on this file and the Inspector's report were considered at a Board meeting held on February 8th 2018.

The Board decided to refuse permission generally in accordance with the Inspector's recommendation, subject to the amendments shown in manuscript on the attached copy of the Inspector's draft reasons and considerations.

Reasons and Considerations

Having regard to the location of the site within an "Area Under Strong Urban Influence" as identified in Sustainable Rural Housing Guidelines for Planning Authorities issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in April 2005 and in an area where housing is restricted to persons demonstrating local need in accordance with the Meath County Development Plan 2013-2019, it is considered that the applicant does has not demonstrated compliance with the housing need criteria as set out in the Guidelines or the Development Plan for a house at this location. The proposed development, in the absence of any identified locally based need for the house, would contribute to the encroachment of random development in the area and would militate against the efficient provision of public services and infrastructure. The proposed development would, therefore, be contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

Note: It is the policy of the planning authority as set out in the current development Plan to control ribbon development. This policy is considered to be reasonable. The proposed development would be in conflict with this policy because, when taken in conjunction with existing development in the vicinity of the site, it would consolidate and contribute to the build-up of ribbon development in an open rural area. This would militate against the preservation of the rural environment and lead to demands for the provision of further public services and community facilities. The proposed development would, therefore, be contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

Note The Board considered that the proposed development would endanger public safety by reason of traffic hazard because of the additional traffic turning movements the development would generate on a road at a point where sightlines are restricted in a southerly direction.

Board Member		D	ate:	08.02.18
	Paul Hyde			