

Board Direction BD-000619-18 ABP-300696-18

The submissions on this file and the Inspector's report were considered at a Board meeting held on July 3rd 2018.

The Board decided to refuse permission for the following reasons and considerations.

Reasons and Considerations

It is considered that the proposed vehicular access across public amenity open space and a pedestrian route, from a curved portion of roadway to the site, would create an obtrusive feature which would detract from the layout, character and visual amenities of this open space and would, therefore, seriously injure the amenities of property in the vicinity in the Sidmonton Court development. The proposed development would, therefore, be contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

In deciding not to accept the Inspector's recommendation to grant permission, the Board had regard to the lengthy planning history of the site, and to its previous decisions, and considered that there had been no material changes in planning circumstances since its previous refusals in relation to the proposed vehicular access across the Sidmonton Court public open space and pedestrian route through this open space.

Note: In considering this case, the Board had full regard to all of the submissions made, including that of the observers, and noted in particular that, while the Inspector had not referred in his report to the observation from Gerard and Eunice McKeown, the Board itself noted the points raised in this observation before arriving at its decision.

Board Member		Date:	3 rd July 2018
	Philip Jones	_	

ABP-300696-18 Board Direction Page 1 of 1