

Board Direction BD-000231-18 ABP-300731-18

The submissions on this file and the Inspector's report were considered at a Board meeting held on April 24<sup>th</sup> 2018.

The Board decided to refuse permission, generally in accordance with the Inspector's recommendation, for the following reasons and considerations.

## **Reasons and Considerations**

1. Kiltiernan has been designated as a 'Future Development Area' in the Core Strategy set out in the Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Development Plan 2016-2022. Furthermore, the County Development Plan includes a specific objective for a proposed quality bus/bus priority route running along the Glenamuck Road to the east of the site. In addition, the Guidelines for Planning Authorities on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas (2009) indicate that residential densities within existing or planned public transport corridors should be a minimum of 50 units per hectare, but with a provision that minimum net densities can be specified in Local Area Plans. In this regard, the Kiltiernan Glenamuck Local Area Plan 2013 specifies that minimum net densities of 40 – 45 units per hectare should apply to the subject site and adjoining lands. The site of the proposed development is on serviceable lands, within the development boundary of Kiltiernan, in an area earmarked for residential development with access to existing and planned public transport. Having regard to the proposed density of development, it is considered that the proposed development would not be developed at a sufficiently high density to provide for an acceptable efficiency in serviceable

land usage given the proximity of the site to Dublin City and to the established social and community services in the immediate vicinity, and would not conform to the minimum densities required in the Local Area Plan and the Guidelines. Furthermore, it is considered that the proposed development does not provide for an appropriate mix of dwelling types, being predominantly semi-detached housing, to comply with the overall provisions set out in the County Development Plan. The proposed development would, therefore, be contrary to the provisions of the County Development Plan, Local Area Plan and to these Ministerial Guidelines, and would be contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

- 2. The Board is not satisfied, on the basis of the documentation submitted with the application, both in the drawings and accompanying report, that the information received is appropriately referenced, sufficiently detailed and supported by site specific investigations, in order to facilitate a comprehensive examination of the storm water proposals for the proposed development. The proposed development would, therefore, be contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.
- 3. The proposed layout would result in a substandard level of pedestrian/cycle connection, particularly to the lands to the east / north-east of the application site. This lack of connectivity would be contrary to the principles espoused by the Design Manual for Road and Streets (2013) and the Urban Design Manual, a companion document to the Guidelines for Planning Authorities on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas (2009) which includes 'Connections' as one of the 12 criteria for the design of residential development. The proposed development would fail to provide for the necessary integration and permeability between different sections of the overall development area as set out in the Local Area Plan, and would be contrary to national policy guidance, and would, therefore, be contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.
- 4. Having regard to the location and height of the proposed houses, crèche/duplex block and apartments in close proximity to the adjoining

residential property to the north (Shaldon Lodge), it is considered that the proposed development would seriously injure the residential amenities of this residential dwelling, by reason of its overbearing impact and by reason of overlooking, particularly from the terraces and balconies in the proposed development's most proximate duplex unit and apartments. The proposed development would, therefore, be contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

**Note:** In arriving at its decision, and in particular reason number 1 of the Order, the Board did not accept the rationale put forward by the applicants in their calculation of residential density, and concurred with the views of the Planning Authority in relation to the unacceptability of the exclusion of the areas of public open space and retained trees from the calculation of net residential density.

[Please issue a copy of this Direction with the Board Order.]

**Board Member** 

**Date:** 24<sup>th</sup> April 2018

Philip Jones