

Board Direction BD-002982-19 ABP-303446-19

The submissions on this file and the Inspector's report were considered at a Board meeting held on 08/05/2019.

The Board decided to refuse permission, generally in accordance with the Inspector's recommendation, for the following reasons and considerations.

Reasons and Considerations

The "Urban Design Manual – a Best Practice Guide" issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in 2009, to accompany the Guidelines for Planning Authorities on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas includes key criteria such as context, connections, inclusivity, variety and distinctiveness. It is considered that the proposed development results in a poor design concept that is substandard in its form and layout; fails to provide high quality usable open space; fails to establish a sense of place; would result in a substandard form of development lacking in variety and distinctiveness, all of which would lead to conditions injurious to the residential amenities of future and existing occupants. Furthermore, the layout of the proposed scheme, being dominated by roads, is contrary to the provisions of the Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets, issued by the Department of the Environment, Community and Local Government and the Department of Transport, Tourism and Sport in 2013, as it involves three vehicular accesses into adjoining lands. It is considered that the proposed development would, therefore, seriously injure the residential amenities of future occupants, would endanger public safety by reason of traffic hazard, and would be contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

Note 1: Having regard to the Guidelines for Planning Authorities on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas and the accompanying Urban Design Manual – a Best Practice Guide, issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in May 2009, and Policy CDP4.7 of the Clare County Development Plan 2017-2023, which seek to ensure that a wide variety of adaptable housing types, sizes and tenures are provided. Criterion number 4 of the Urban Design Manual recognises that a successful neighbourhood will be one that houses a wide range of people from differing social and income groups and recognises that a neighbourhood with a good mix of unit types will feature both apartments and houses of varying sizes. The National Planning Framework recognises the increasing demand to cater for one and two-person households and that a wide range of different housing needs will be required in the future. The proposed development, which is characterised predominantly by three bed semi-detached and terraced housing and provides for no one or two bedroomed units, would fail to comply with national and planning authority policy, as outlined above, and would be contrary to these Ministerial Guidelines, and would, therefore, be contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

Note 2: The Board considered that the density of the proposed development is contrary to the provisions of the Guidelines for Planning Authorities on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas (2009), issued to planning authorities under section 28 of the Planning and Development Act. The site of the proposed development is on serviceable lands, within the development boundary of Ennis and within the Roslevan neighbourhood as designated in the settlement strategy for the county. Having regard to the proposed density of development, it is considered that the proposed development would not be developed at a sufficiently high density to provide for an acceptable efficiency in serviceable land usage given the proximity of the site to Ennis and to the established social and community services in the immediate vicinity. In addition, the proposed development does not have an adequate mix of dwelling types, being predominantly semi-detached and terraced housing. It is considered that the low density proposed would be contrary to the aforementioned Ministerial

Guidelines, which indicate that net densities less than 30 dwellings per hectare should generally be discouraged in the interests of land efficiency. The proposed development would, therefore, be contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

The Board noted that the issues detailed in Note 1 and 2 had not been previously identified during the application and appeals process but in the light of the substantive reason for refusal detailed above decided not to issue a S132 notice to the applicant on this issue.

Note 3: Copy of Board Direction to issue with the Board Order.

Board Member		Date:	08/05/2019
	Maria FitzGerald	•	