

Board Direction BD-003366-19 ABP-303956-19

The submissions on this file and the Inspector's report were considered at a Board meeting held on 19/06/2019.

The Board decided to refuse permission, generally in accordance with the Inspector's recommendation, for the following reasons and considerations.

Reasons and Considerations

1. The "Urban Design Manual – a Best Practice Guide" issued by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government in 2009, to accompany the Guidelines for Planning Authorities on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas includes key criteria such as context, connections, inclusivity, variety and distinctiveness. It is considered that the proposed development results in a poor design concept that is substandard in its form, layout and elevational treatment; fails to provide high quality usable open spaces; fails to establish a sense of place; would result in a substandard form of development lacking in variety and distinctiveness, all of which would lead to conditions injurious to the residential amenities of future occupants. Furthermore, the layout of the proposed scheme, being dominated by roads and surface car parking, is contrary to the provisions of the Design Manual for Urban Roads and Streets, issued by the Department of the Environment, Community and Local Government and the Department of Transport, Tourism and Sport in 2013. The proposed development would, therefore, seriously injure the residential amenities of future occupants, would be contrary to these Ministerial Guidelines and would be contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

2. Section 4.10 of the Guidelines for Planning Authorities on Sustainable Residential Development in Urban Areas (2009), together with Criteria No. 2 (Connections) in the accompanying Design Manual, seeks in the creation of well-connected communities, to minimise the need for car journeys and encourage walking and cycling. Having regard to the lack of meaningful pedestrian and cycle facilities proposed along the site boundary with the R121, it is considered that any development of the subject lands would be premature pending the provision of these improvement works. The lack of cyclepaths along the main access road through to the designated GAA lands is also considered unacceptable. Furthermore, it is considered that if developed prior to the carrying out and completion of these improvement works, the proposed development would endanger public safety by reason of a traffic hazard, including hazard to pedestrians and cyclists. The proposed development, would therefore be contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

Board Member

Date: 20/06/2019

Michelle Fagan