

Board Direction BD-007157-20 ABP-307963-20

The submissions on this file and the Inspector's report were considered at a Board meeting held on 11/12/2020.

The Board decided to refuse permission, generally in accordance with the Inspector's recommendation, for the following reasons and considerations.

Reasons and Considerations

| 1. | It is an objective of the planning authority set out in the Wicklow County |
|----|--|
| | Development Plan 2016-2022 to strengthen the established structure of |
| | villages and smaller settlements both to support local economies and to |
| | accommodate additional population in a way that supports the viability of |
| | local infrastructure, businesses and services, such as schools and water |
| | services. Taken in conjunction with existing and permitted development in |
| | the area, the proposed development would give rise to sporadic housing in |
| | a rural area lacking certain public services and community facilities and |
| | served by a poor road network. The proposed development would, |
| | therefore, contravene materially the objective of the planning authority and |
| | would lead to demands for the uneconomic provision of further public |
| | services and facilities in an area where these are not proposed. The |
| | proposed development would, therefore, be contrary to the proper planning |
| | and sustainable development of the area. |
| • | |
| 2. | It is an objective of the planning authority set out in the Wicklow County |
| | Development Plan 2016-2022 that the treatment and disposal of domestic |
| | effluent comply with the standards set out in the Code of Practice for |
| | |

| | | Wastewater Treatment and Disposal Systems for Single Houses (PE \leq 10) |
|---|----|---|
| | | (EPA 2009). The application has not demonstrated that domestic effluent |
| | | arising from the proposed development would be treated to these |
| | | standards and the proposed development would, therefore, be prejudicial |
| | | to public health and give rise to a risk of groundwater pollution. |
| | | |
| Ī | 3. | The site is located on a minor road which is seriously substandard in terms |
| | 3. | The site is located on a minor road which is seriously substandard in terms of width, alignment and surface treatment and lacks public footpaths, cycle |
| | 3. | |
| | 3. | of width, alignment and surface treatment and lacks public footpaths, cycle |
| | 3. | of width, alignment and surface treatment and lacks public footpaths, cycle paths and public lighting. The traffic generated by the proposed |

Board Member

Date: 11/12/2020

Maria FitzGerald