

## **Board Direction BD-009666-21 ABP-309978-21**

The submissions on this file and the Inspector's report were considered at a Board meeting held on 10/12/2021.

The Board decided to refuse permission, generally in accordance with the Inspector's recommendation, for the following reasons and considerations.

## **Reasons and Considerations**

## Having regard to

- the location of the site within a rural area under urban influence in accordance with the Sustainable Rural Housing Guidelines for Planning Authorities published by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government 2005,
- National Policy Objective 19 of the National Planning Framework
  (February 2018) which, for rural areas under urban influence, seeks to
  facilitate the provision of single housing in the countryside based on the
  core consideration of demonstrable economic or social need to live in a
  rural area, having regard to the viability of smaller towns and rural
  settlements,
- to the provisions of the Wexford County Development Plan 2013-2019
   which facilitates the provision of housing for local rural people building in
   their local rural area (defined as within a 7km radius of where the
   applicant has lived or was living), and

 to the documentation on the file including details of the applicant's links with Ballydane, Courtown and current employment in Bray at a distance of 75km from the proposed development site,

the Board could not be satisfied on the basis of the information on the file that the applicant came within the scope of either the economic or social housing need criteria as set out in the overarching National Guidelines or the definition of a local rural person in accordance with the relevant criteria of the Wexford County Development Plan 2013-2019.

The proposed development, in the absence of any identified locally based need for the house at this location, would result in a haphazard and unsustainable form of development in an unserviced area, would contribute to the encroachment of random rural development in the area and would militate against the preservation of the rural environment and the efficient provision of public services and infrastructure and undermine the settlement strategy set out in the Development Plan. The proposed development would, therefore, be contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

Notwithstanding the proposal to use a proprietary domestic wastewater treatment system on the site, the Board had regard to the poor soil conditions and the shallow bedrock on site, to the proliferation of domestic wastewater treatment systems in this rural area, and to the Sustainable Rural Housing guidelines 2005 which recommends, in un-sewered rural areas, avoiding sites where it is inherently difficult to provide and maintain wastewater treatment and disposal facilities, and could not be satisfied, on the basis of the information on the file, that the impact of the proposed development in conjunction with existing waste water treatment systems in the area would not give rise to a risk of groundwater pollution. The proposed development would, therefore, be contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

Board Member Maria FitzGerald Date: 13/12/2021

Maria FitzGerald

