

## **Board Direction BD-010192-22 ABP-311717-21**

The submissions on this file and the Inspector's report were considered at a Board meeting held on 03/03/2022.

The Board decided to refuse permission, generally in accordance with the Inspector's recommendation, for the following reasons and considerations.

## **Reasons and Considerations**

- 1. Having regard to:
  - The location of the site within a rural area under urban influence in accordance with Sustainable Rural Housing Guidelines for Planning Authorities published by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government 2005
  - National Policy Objective 19 of the National Planning Framework (February 2018) which, for rural areas under urban influence, seeks to facilitate the provision of single housing in the countryside based on the core consideration of demonstrable economic or social need to live in a rural area, having regard to the viability or smaller towns and rural settlements
  - The Objective RF38 (iii) and (vi) of the Fingal Development Plan 2017-2023
    which require the location of the family home to be on the existing farm, and
    requires documentary evidence that the applicant resides on a working family
    farm within the planning application

It is considered that the applicants do not come within the scope of either the economic or social housing need criteria set out in the overarching National Guidelines or the relevant Local Housing Need Qualifying Criteria of the Development Plan.

ABP-311717-21 Board Direction Page 1 of 2

The proposed development, in the absence of any identified locally based need for the house at this location, would result in a haphazard and unsustainable form of development in an unserviced area, would contribute to the encroachment of random rural development in the area and would mitigate against the preservation of the rural environment and the efficient provision of public services and infrastructure and undermine the settlement strategy set out in the development plan. The proposed development would, therefore, be contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

- 2. Having regard to the width and alignment of public road and private laneway serving the site, which is unsuitable to cater for increased traffic, the proposal would generate additional and more regular traffic movements, and would constitute a traffic hazard and obstruction to other road users. The proposed development would, therefore, be contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.
- 3. Notwithstanding the proposal to use a proprietary wastewater treatment system on site, the Board had regard, to the proliferation of domestic wastewater treatment systems in this rural area, the fact that that groundwater in the area is classified as highly vulnerable, and to the Sustainable Rural Housing Guidelines for Planning Authorities published by the Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government 2005 which recommend, in un-sewered rural areas, avoiding sites where it is inherently difficult to provide and maintain wastewater treatment and disposal facilities. The Board could not be satisfied, on the basis of the information on the file, that the impact of the proposed development in conjunction with existing wastewater treatment systems in the area would not give rise to a risk of groundwater pollution. The proposed development would, therefore, be contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

**Board Member** 

Date: 09/03/2022

ABP-311717-21 Board Direction Page 2 of 2