



An  
Bord  
Pleanála

**Board Direction**  
**BD-012521-23**  
**ABP-311957-21**

The submissions on this file and the Inspector's report were considered at a Board meeting held on 21/06/2023.

The Board decided to refuse permission for the following reasons and considerations.

#### **Reasons and Considerations**

1. On the basis of the information provided with the application and appeal, including the revised Natura Impact Statement received by the Board, and noting the presence of a stream (Kilcooney Stream) running through the development site, that is hydrologically connected with the River Barrow and Nore SAC (Site code 002162) and is proposed to be realigned and piped with a 1.2m diameter concrete pipe, the Board was not satisfied that the site works and the site-specific mitigation measures have been adequately set out or addressed in the submitted revised Natura Impact Statement and supporting documentation. Accordingly, the Board concluded that there was insufficient information on file to exclude adverse effects on the integrity of the River Barrow and River Nore Special Area of Conservation (Site code 002162) with scientific certainty, having regard to the site's conservation objectives arising from the potential for deterioration of the water quality.
2. Having considered the submitted Environmental Impact Assessment Report (EIAR) in support of the application for the proposed development, by reference to S.172 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000, as amended and Article 94 and Schedule 6 of the planning and development regulations 2001, as amended, the Board considered that it does not adequately identify, describe or assess the

direct and indirect significant effects on the environment of the proposed development in accordance with Section 172(1D)(a) of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended. Accordingly, the Board was unable to carry out an environmental a complete environmental impact assessment in respect of the proposed development, including, as part of the assessment, reaching a reasoned conclusion on the significant effects on the environment of the proposed development and the integration of the reasoned conclusion into its decision as required under S.172 of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended.

The following was of particular note:

- The requirements of Article 94 of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001, as amended, are not fulfilled in the submitted EIAR in that the requirement to outline experts who contributed to the preparation of the report or of the expert's level of competence and experience, including relevant qualifications, if any, in relation to such parts and information in relation to the expert's individual expertise such as would demonstrate the experts' competence, has not been fulfilled in the submitted EIAR.
- The information on alternatives presented does not provide a sufficient description of the reasonable alternatives studied by the person or persons who prepared the EIAR, which are relevant to the proposed development and its specific characteristics, and an indication of the main reasons for the option chosen, taking into account the effects of the proposed development on the environment, as required under Article 94 and Schedule 6 of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001, as amended.
- The EIAR does not provide an adequate description of the baseline environment or provide a systematic analysis and assessment of the potential effects of the proposed project on the receiving environment as required under Article 94 and Schedule 6 of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001, as amended. The detail presented is in places unsupported, unclear, ambiguous and lacking in scientific evidence. This is

particularly relevant in relation to the information presented on the water environment.

While it was open to the Board to seek further information under S177 of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended, to remedy the defects identified, having regard to the fundamental nature of the information that would be required to allow the Board to carry out a complete environmental impact assessment and taking into consideration the Board's other reason for refusal on grounds of appropriate assessment (Reason Number one), the Board did not pursue this option.

In deciding not to accept the Inspector's recommendation to grant permission, the Board did not agree with the inspector's assessment that the EIAR contained information that is reasonable and sufficient to allow the Board to reach a reasoned conclusion on the significant effects of the project on the environment, taking into account current knowledge and methods of assessment as following the carrying out of a review of the EIAR, the Board was not satisfied that the EIAR adequately identifies, describes or assesses the direct and indirect significant effects on the environment of the proposed development in accordance with S.172(1D)(a) of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended for reasons outlined in the Board's decision above.

In carrying out appropriate assessment (Stage 1 - Screening), the Board agreed with the inspector's conclusion that the River Barrow and River Nore Special Area of Conservation (Site code 002162) should be the subject of Appropriate Assessment. However, in carrying out appropriate assessment (Stage 2), the Board did not share the view of the inspector that the proposed development, individually or in combination with other plans or projects, would not adversely affect the integrity of the River Barrow and Nore SAC (Site code 002162), in view of the site's Conservation Objectives on the basis that the site works and the site-specific mitigation measures have not been adequately set out or addressed in the submitted revised Natura Impact Statement or supporting documentation. Accordingly, the Board reached a conclusion that there was insufficient information on file to exclude adverse effects on the integrity of the River Barrow and River Nore Special Area of

Conservation (Site code 002162) with scientific certainty, having regard to the site's conservation objectives arising from the potential for deterioration of the water quality.

**Board Member**

Patricia Calleary  
Patricia Calleary

**Date:** 21/06/2023