



**An
Bord
Pleanála**

**Board Direction
BD-016823-24
ABP-315355-22**

The submissions on this file and the Inspector's report were considered at a Board meeting held on 24/06/2024.

The Board decided to refuse permission, generally in accordance with the Inspector's recommendation, for the following reasons and considerations.

Reasons and Considerations

1. Having regard to the Wexford County Development Plan 2022-2028, and to the location, nature and scale of the proposed development, the Board was not satisfied that there was (i) sufficiency of legal interest demonstrated in relation to the proposed development site encompassed in the red line boundary, (ii) clear justification presented for the proposed development at this particular location on the most easterly end of the site on a floodplain, (iii) no traffic and transport assessment provided, (iv) no engineering assessment concerning the structural stability of the site for the proposed use, (v) sufficient clarity concerning key details of the proposed development including inter alia its drainage management. The development would, therefore, be contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.
2. Having regard to the location of the site, within 20 metres of the River Barrow and River Nore SAC (site code: 002612), the Board is not satisfied, on the basis of the submissions made in connection with the planning application and appeal, that the proposed development, either individually, or in combination with development on the adjoining land to the east, would not be

likely to have a significant effect on the River Barrow and River Nore SAC
(site code: 002612).

Board Member

Eamonn James Kelly
Eamonn James Kelly

Date: 25/06/2024

Note

The Board did not consider the proposed development to conform with Class 11 (b) of Part 2 of Schedule 5 to the Planning and Development Regulations 2001, as amended, i.e. an installation for the disposal of waste with an annual intake greater than 25,000 tonnes not included in Part 1 of this Schedule. The Board therefore did not adopt the Inspector's recommended third reason for refusal.