

Board Direction BD-019505-25 ABP-319296-24

The submissions on this file and the Inspector's report were considered at a Board meeting held on 16/04/2025.

The Board decided to treat this case under the provisions of Article 40 of the Building Control Regulations, 1997, as amended.

Amend condition number two to read as follows:

Condition 2:

The building or part thereof shall only be used to store 'normal risk' goods and shall not store 'high risk' goods (as assessed by reference to Appendix E Assessment of Risk in Industrial and Storage Buildings of TGD Part B 2006), unless a suitable automatic sprinkler system is designed, installed and maintained in accordance with IS EN 12845:2015 (+AC:2016) (+A1:2019) (or any relevant updates to this standard) or an equivalent relevant sprinkler system.

Reason:

To ensure compliance with Part B of the Building Regulations 1997, as amended.

Reasons and Considerations

Having regard to the presented design of the building and the accompanying technical compliance report, to the submissions made in connection with the Fire

ABP-319296-24 Board Direction Page 1 of 3

Safety Certificate application and the appeal and the relevant guidance in Technical Guidance Document Part B (2006 + A1 2020), the Board was satisfied that the Building Control Authority be directed to amend Condition No. 2 and the reason therefor on the basis that the storage building can be treated as 'normal risk' by reference to the information on file and the specific guidance contained in Section E1 of Appendix E (Assessment of Risk in Industrial and Storage Buildings) of TGD Part B 2006 +A1 2020. The Board was further satisfied that, subject to the attachment of the amended Condition No. 2, it has been demonstrated that the subject building, if constructed in accordance with the design presented with the application and appeal, would comply with the requirements of Part B of the Second Schedule to the Building Regulations 1997, as amended.

In disagreeing with the inspector to attach Condition 2, the Board did not share the view of the inspector that the attachment of the condition is reasonably necessary to meet the requirements of Part B of the Schedule to the current Building Regulations. The Board agreed with the appellant's case that a number of the standards/codes referenced by the Building Control Authority in its mandate for sprinklers are not relevant in this instance on the basis that the appellant's application was submitted on the basis of compliance with TGD B 2006 + A1 2020 and adherence to the guidance demonstrates prima facie compliance with Part B of the Building Regulations. In particular, the Board noted that TGD B 2024, referred to by the BCA, and which includes for un-sprinklered racking where the topmost shelf has a height greater than 7m above floor level being categorised as 'high hazard' (D2 of Appendix D – Assessment of Fire Hazard and associated life risk), came into effect on the 1st May 2025 (and with transition periods that effectively mean a later effective application date and that apply in this instance). The Board was satisfied that TGD Part B 2006 +A1 2020 is the relevant statutory guidance to be applied in it's adjudication on the current appeal and it would be premature to adopt TGD Part B 2024 which has not yet come into effect.

In assessing the appeal, the Board noted the guidance contained in Section E1 of Appendix E (Assessment of Risk in Industrial and Storage Buildings) of TGD Part B 2006 +A1 2020, which sets out that industrial and storage buildings should be

ABP-319296-24 Board Direction Page 2 of 3

treated as "normal risk" unless identified, by reasons of the criteria outlined in the appendix, as being "high risk." The Board also noted that notwithstanding the speculative nature of the development and that the specific goods to be stored in the building are not identified, the appellant has clearly stated that goods intended to be stored would fall within the category of "normal risk" and would not fall into a "high risk" category as defined in Appendix E. The Board was satisfied that should the situation change in the future, resulting in bringing the building into a "high risk" category, then the building would at that point require sprinkler protection.

Accordingly the Board decided to amend condition number two to capture the requirements for the current intention for storage of "normal risk" goods and any potential change to storage of "high risk" goods that may occur within the building.

Board Member:

Date: 18/04/2025

ABP-319296-24 Board Direction Page 3 of 3