



At a meeting held on 10th October 2017, the Board considered

- (a) the objections made to the proposed development,
- (b) the report of the Inspector, who held the oral hearing and
- (c) the documents and submissions on file generally.

The Board decided to confirm the CPO, with modifications, generally in accordance with the recommendation of the reporting inspector, subject to the amendments shown in manuscript on the attached copy of the Draft Order.

The Board considered the claim by the objector Kingscroft Developments Ltd. for an award of costs in relation to its participation at the oral hearing. While the claim was made under section 135(5) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended), the Board noted that this section would not be applicable given that the objector was not required by the Board to be present. The Board considered it reasonable to consider the claim for costs under the wider powers of section 219 of the Act. In this regard, the Board decided not to award costs to the claimant, for the following reasons and considerations:

Having regard to the circumstances of the case, the matters raised in writing and at the oral hearing by the objector, and having regard to the substantive outcome of the case which did not uphold the grounds of objection, it is considered that there are no circumstances arising that would merit the award of costs to the objector in relation to their participation in the oral hearing.

Board Member

Date: 11th October 2017

Conall Boland