

An Bord Pleanála
64 Marlborough Street
Dublin 1



6th October 2017

Uisce Éireann
Bosca OP 900
Webworks
Sráid Eglinton
Corcaigh
Éire

Irish Water
PO Box 900
Webworks
Eglinton Street
Cork
Ireland

T: +353 21 423 9000
www.water.ie

Re: **File Reference 06F.PC0152 – Greater Dublin Drainage Project comprising a regional waste water treatment plant and associated orbital drainage network and marine outfall, North County Dublin**

Dear Sir/Madam,

We have received the email copy of An Bord Pleanála's written record of the pre-application consultation meeting held on 26th June 2017, and have reviewed same. On foot of this, there are a number of comments that we would like added to the file as clarification of the Board's record.

As a matter of general record it is noted that any reference to an 'EIS' now relates to an EIAR, in accordance with the provisions of the 2014 EIA Directive.

Within the Board's Record, the third paragraph under the 'Presentation by the Prospective Applicant' states that:

"Noting this, the Board advised the prospective applicant that any application for the regional biosolids facility should also make reference to associated nutrient management plans for land-spreading."

We would like it to be clarified, that within the context of the discussion and proposed development, this relates to the proposed applicant confirming that there is adequate available lands with adequate capacity to accept the bio-solids to be spread.

The second to last paragraph before the Conclusion states:

"With regard to the CPO, the prospective applicant said that it is engaging with relevant landowners who number five in total."

We would like this statement to more correctly reflect the fact that the reference to five landowners is in relation to land acquisition, and that wayleaves will also be required as part of the CPO.

Within the concluding paragraph reference is made to "at least one more pre-application consultation meeting with the Board. Our notes of the meeting reflect the fact that there is likely to be at least two more pre-application meetings – "possibly later this year (2017), and again around February next year (2018) – this would facilitate ABP updating the Board, and being able to provide feedback.

Our final comment relates to the following clarification provided within the meeting, although it does not appear to be noted within the Board's record:

It was clarified that the ultimate project design will cater for an 800,000 PE equivalent, although Phase 1 of the project will provide treatment capacity for 420,000 PE.

We trust that these comments will be added to the Pre-Application Consultation file, and thank ABP for the opportunity to clarify the record of the meeting.

Yours sincerely,



Frieda Ryan
Wayleaves Manager
Major Projects

