Our Ref: 09.PC0204

P.A.Reg.Ref:

Your Ref:



Lara Gough AOS Planning 2nd Floor The Courtyard 25 Great Strand Street Dublin 1

18th October 2017

Re:

Increase in non-hazardous waste to landfill, increase in volume of waste to composting facility and development of hazardous waste landfill at Drehid Waste Management Facility, Co. Kildare

Dear Madam,

I have been asked by An Bord Pleanála to acknowledge receipt of your letter dated 12th October, 2017. The contents of which have been noted.

If you have any queries in relation to the matter please contact the undersigned officer of the Board.

Please quote the above-mentioned An Bord Pleanála reference number in any correspondence or telephone contact with the Board.

Yours faithfully,

Kieran Somers Executive Officer Direct Line:01-8737107

AA08.LTR



1st Floor, 24-26 Ormond Quay Upper, Dublin 7 D07 DAV9

Tel: 01 874 7704 Web: www.aosplanning.ie



An Bord Pleanála 64 Marlborough Street Dublin 1

12th October 2017

By Hand

Re. 09.PC0204: Proposed Changes to the Volume and Nature of Waste to be accepted at the Drehid Waste Management Facility, County Kildare.

A Chara,

We confirm receipt of the An Bord Pleanála Record of the 5th Meeting which was held on 13th September 2017, and would like to make a number of points of clarification in respect of same.

The last sentence of the 3rd paragraph on page 4 states that: "The prospective applicant noted that they had undertaken extensive surveys of access routes and acknowledged that financial contributions would be needed".

This note would be more properly and correctly stated by stating that "...and acknowledged that financial contributions <u>are envisaged</u>".

It is noted that in some respects, the Board's Record does not always make reference to the applicant's response to statements, or their acknowledgment of what the application will contain – for example, in relation to the segregated IBA, the Board's Record states that:

The Board representatives invited the prospective applicant to consider options to allow for revisiting re-use of this waste stream should alternative uses be advanced in the future and possibly framing any application in that context.

In the above regard, we would request that it be noted that the prospective applicant responded by outlining the fact that the incoming IBA is treated as a segregated waste stream (in the IBA Maturation and Metals Recovery Facility) prior to on-site disposal in the Non Hazardous Landfill, and that there is therefore scope for it to be separately disposed of and reused if/ when viable at a future time. The proposed applicant confirmed that will be addressed within the EIAR.

In addition to the above, and in relation to the roads and traffic aspects, we would suggest the following be noted as a more complete response to comprise the fact that the prospective applicant also highlighted the fact that traffic scenarios have also been analysed and assessed, and the potential effects and proposed mitigation measures will also be outlined in the EIAR. The prospective applicant also noted that junction analyses had been undertaken, with possible options outlined to improve same.

From our own recollection and notes of the meeting, we wish to also add that reference was also made by the ABP representatives, during the meeting, of the 'Naul' case, and it was queried whether this application, issues arising, and decision had been had regard to by the prospective applicant. In response to this query, the

prospective applicant confirmed that this had been the case, and that they were satisfied that all issues in that case had been addressed within the proposed development, and that there were no issues outstanding.

As a final comment, we would also request that it be noted that the Board's representatives confirmed to the prospective applicant that in terms of the EIA Directive and public notice requirements, reference(s) to an EIAR would be sufficient to comply with the application requirements – as opposed to referencing an EIS/ EIAR.

We await acknowledgement of this letter at your earliest opportunity in order that we may commence preapplication closure proceedings.

Is mise le meas,

Lara Gough BBdgA (Arch) MTRP MIPI

AOS Planning

AN BORD PLEANÁLA
TIME ______ BY ___

1 ,3 OCT 2017

RECEIVED BY _____
PL ____