



Planning and Development Acts 2000 to 2018

Planning Authority: Dublin City Council

Planning Register Reference Number: 3319/18

Appeal by Andy Reid of 52 Upper Rathmines Road, Rathmines, Dublin against the decision made on the 14th day of August, 2018 by Dublin City Council to grant subject to conditions a permission to Cignal Infrastructure Limited care of Ciara Maguire of 3rd Floor, Fumbally Exchange, 5 Dame Lane, Dublin.

Proposed Development: Installation of a multi-user shrouded telecommunications base station on rooftop of Blocks 1 and 3 which comprises replica flues and louvre screening concealing antennas, dishes, power cabinets, RRU equipment and supporting apparatus, cabled through existing risers to telecommunications exchange cabinets within an existing Comms room to the ground floor with access over existing routes. The development is required for the provision of mobile and data services on Eir mobile and Three's network in the area and also to provide future accommodation for a third licensed operator as required. All at "Number one Ballsbridge" on the former Veterinary College site Shelbourne Road, Shelbourne Lane and number 126 Pembroke Road, Ballsbridge, Dublin.

Decision

DISMISS the said appeal under subsection (1) (b)(i) of section 138 of the **Planning and Development Act, 2000**, based on the reasons and considerations set out below.

Matters Considered

In making its decision, the Board had regard to those matters to which, by virtue of the Planning and Development Acts and Regulations made thereunder, it was required to have regard. Such matters included any submissions and observations received by it in accordance with statutory provisions.

Reasons and Considerations

It is a requirement of the Planning and Development Act, 2000, as amended, that in order to make a 'third party' planning appeal to An Bord Pleanála, the appellant must state their name and address. This is an important requirement for protecting the integrity of the planning system. In response to the appeal in this case, the applicant for development raised concerns as to whether the appellant was in fact a bona-fide person living at the address given. The appellant has been offered a number of opportunities to address these concerns but has failed to confirm his identity and address. In these circumstances, the Board considered that it would be inappropriate to continue to consider the appeal, and considered that the appeal ought to be dismissed.

Conall Boland
Member of An Bord Pleanála
duly authorised to authenticate
the seal of the Board.

Dated this day of 2018.