

Board Order 25M.RL.3814

Planning and Development Acts 2000 to 2017

Planning Authority: Westmeath County Council

Planning Register Reference Number: S5/17/17

WHEREAS a question has arisen as to whether a development comprising of what the applicants assert are a Class 6 agricultural shed and a Class 9 agricultural shed, at Twyford, Baylin, Athlone, County Westmeath are or are not exempted development:

AND WHEREAS Liam Madden of Vitruvius Hibernicus, Convent Road, Longford, on behalf of Midland Industrial Maintenance Limited and of Declan and Caroline Ganley, requested a declaration on this question from Westmeath County Council on the 7th day of September 2017, and the Council referred this question to An Bord Pleanála for determination, under Section 5 (4) of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended, on the 3rd day of October 2017.

AND WHEREAS An Bord Pleanála, in considering this referral, had regard particularly to -

- (a) Sections 2, 3 and 4 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000, as amended,
- (b) Articles 6 and 9 of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001, as amended,

- (c) Classes 6 and 9 of Part 3 of the Second Schedule to the Planning and Development Regulations 2001, as amended,
- (d) relevant case law, and in particular South Dublin County Council v Fallowvale Ltd [2005] IEHC 408 and Dillon v Irish Cement [Supreme Court 1984], and
- (e) the planning history of the site, the submissions received and the report of the Inspector:

AND WHEREAS An Bord Pleanála has concluded that -

- (a) the erection of the subject building involved the carrying out of works, and therefore, constitutes development within the meaning of Section 3 of the Planning and Development 2000, as amended;
- (b) if the existing building is subdivided, as indicated on submitted documentation, that part of the building which it is stated would be used as a Class 6 agricultural building would not come within the scope of Class 6 of Part 3 of the Second Schedule to the Planning and Development Regulations 2001, as amended, because the floor area of that part of the building exceeds 200 square metres, based on the documentation submitted with the referral. Furthermore, the development would not comply with Condition and Limitation number 6, to which Class 6 is subject, as no evidence has been provided that the consent in writing of the owners and occupiers of all houses within 100 metres of the building had been given prior to the provision of the building in question. In addition, on the basis of the documentation submitted with the referral, it cannot be established that Condition and Limitation number 6, to which that Class is subject, has been complied with, in that no details of whether effluent storage facilities adequate to serve the structure have been constructed were provided and, having regard to case law, the onus for establishing that a development is exempted development is on the person claiming or seeking to avail of such exemption. The development in question is not, therefore, exempted development.

(c) if the existing building is subdivided, as indicated on submitted documentation, that part of the building which it is stated would be used as a Class 9 agricultural building would come within the scope of Class 9 of Part 3 of the Second Schedule to the Planning and Development Regulations 2001, as amended, but would not comply with Condition and Limitation number 5, to which that Class is subject, as no evidence has been provided that the consent in writing of the owners and occupiers of all houses within 100 metres of the building had been given prior to the provision of the building in question. The development in question is not, therefore, exempted development.

NOW THEREFORE An Bord Pleanála, in exercise of the powers conferred on it by Section 5 (4) of the 2000 Act, hereby decides that a development comprising of what the applicants assert are a Class 6 agricultural shed and a Class 9 agricultural shed, at Twyford, Baylin, Athlone, County Westmeath is development and is not exempted development.

Matters Considered

In making its decision, the Board had regard to those matters to which, by virtue of the Planning and Development Acts and Regulations made thereunder, it was required to have regard. Such matters included any submissions and observations received by it in accordance with statutory provisions.

Member of An Bord Pleanála duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this day of 2018

25M.RL.3814 Board Order Page 3 of 3