

Board Order 06S.SU.0068

Planning and Development Acts 2000 to 2018

Planning Authority: South Dublin County Council

Planning Register Reference Number: SDQU05A/4

Application for Substitute Consent by Laurence Behan care of Cross Architect and Building Surveyor of 11 An Crois, Allenwood Cross, Allenwood, Naas, County Kildare in accordance with section 177E of the Planning and Development Act, 2000, as amended by the insertion on section 57 of the Planning and Development (Amendment) Act, 2010, as amended.

Location of Quarry: Windmillhill, Rathcoole, County Dublin.

Decision

The Board, in accordance with section 177K of the Planning and Development Act, 2000, as amended, decided to **REFUSE** substitute consent based on the Reasons and Considerations set out below.

Matters Considered

In making its decision, the Board had regard to those matters to which, by virtue of the Planning and Development Acts and Regulations made thereunder, it was required to have regard. Such matters included any submissions and observations received by it in accordance with statutory provisions.

Reasons and Considerations

It is considered that the remedial Environmental Impact Statement submitted with the application for substitute consent is significantly and materially deficient, and does not comply with the minimum requirements for such a document, as set out in Article 94 and Schedule 6 to the Planning and Development Regulations, 2001, as amended, by reason of:-

- 1. The failure to adequately describe the proposed development relating to the application for substitute consent, in accordance with the planning authority's notice under Section 261A of the Planning and Development Act, 2000, as amended, inclusive of the physical characteristics of the proposal, the relevant extraction processes, the nature and quantity of extracted materials, the land-use requirements during the construction and operational phases, phasing and methodology of previous extraction, residues and emissions from the relevant development, monitoring, decommissioning and rehabilitation.
- 2. The failure to describe the existing environment prior to the quarry extraction the subject of the application, culminating in the lack of understanding of the context, character and sensitivity of that environment or any baseline against which environmental impact can be assessed.

- 3. The inadequacy of data required to identify and assess the main effects which the development that has taken place would likely to have had on the environment, either directly or indirectly, in terms of their character, magnitude, duration and consequences.
- 4. The lack of details of material significance and substance in regard to considerations on the overall development relating to the application with regard to impacts on human beings, flora, fauna, soil, water, air, the landscape, material assets, cultural heritage and the inter-relationship between these factors.

Accordingly, the Board cannot be satisfied that the development that has taken place at this location would not have had significant adverse effects on the environment. The development in question is, therefore, contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.



Member of An Bord Pleanála duly authorised to authenticate the seal of the Board.

Dated this day of

2018