

Inspector's Report ABP-300892-18

Type of Appeal Section 9 Appeal against section 7(3)

Notice

Location Lands between Belleek Manor and

Belleek Lodge residential developments,

Belleek, Ballina, Co. Mayo.

Planning Authority Mayo County Council

Planning Authority VSL Reg. Ref. VSL BA 1

Site Owner Kieran Melvin & Knocknalyre Limited

Appellant Kieran Melvin

Planning Authority Decision Place on Register

Date of Site Visit 4 June 2018

Inspector Una Crosse

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1. This appeal refers to a section 7(3) notice issued by Mayo County Council, stating their intention to enter the site known as lands between Belleek Manor and Belleek Lodge residential developments, Belleek, Ballina, Co. Mayo on to the Vacant Sites Register (VSR) in accordance with the provisions of section 6(2) of the Urban Regeneration and Housing Act 2015.
- 1.2. The appeal site has two owners with two folios within the site registered under VSL reference VSL BA 1. Folio MY45756F registered to Kieran Melvin and folio MY60292F registered to Knocknalyre Ltd with the lands within each of the folios outlined in the maps attached. Two notices were issued for this site one to Brian Bourke Director of Knocknalyre Ltd and the other to Kieran Melvin. One appeal has been submitted in respect of the notice by Kieran Melvin.

2.0 Site Location and Description

The site comprises lands to the rear of existing residential development in the area of the town known as Belleek accessed via the entrance archway on the Castle Road. The site includes an area of ground which extends to the roadway into Belleek Manor and which has a partly constructed road and footpath. The site is currently blocked off from the existing development. The existing residential development known as Belleek Lodge is located to the south of the site.

3.0 Statutory Context

3.1. **URH ACT**

3.1.1. While not specifically mentioned in the Notice issued the accompanying report has assessed the site on the basis of the tests outlined in Section 5(1)(a) of the Act which relate to residential lands.

3.2. **Development Plan Policy**

- 3.2.1. The site is zoned residential Phase 1 in the Ballina Town and Environs Plan 2009-2015.
- 3.2.1. Objective RD17 of Ballina & Environs Development Plan 2009-2015

Objective RD17 states that it is an objective of the Council to encourage and facilitate the development of vacant and undeveloped residential lands through the use of all available tools and mechanisms, including the Vacant Site Levy, in order to support implementation of the Core Strategy and Settlement Strategy and the Interim Housing Strategy.

In this regard, residential lands where the Vacant Site Levy may be applied include:

- 1. All Phase 1 Residential lands in the Key Towns and
- 2. Any other residential zoned lands on which there is planning permission in respect of which substantial works have not been carried out within 3 years of the date of permission. These areas are shown on the maps titled "Residential and Regeneration Lands" which accompany the Area Plans (Map 8). These areas will be examined in detail to determine if there are sites where the Vacant Site Levy is applicable under the provisions of the Urban Housing and Regeneration Act 2015.

4.0 **Planning History**

Ref. 15-784 – permission granted for 23 detached dwellings on a site of 1.57 hectares (valid until January 2022). Stated that application was for phases 2-4 of the estate. The application was made by Knocknalyre ltd and extends to the site subject of this VSL appeal.

5.0 Planning Authority Decision

5.1. Planning Authority Reports

 A Draft Vacant Sites Assessment report was prepared which outlines the site, ownership, planning history and states that the majority of the undeveloped element of the lands is vacant and was vacant in May and September 2017 with

- a valid permission which may be activated. Consideration of Parts 5(1)(a)(i) & (ii) are outlined in an appended report and the site is deemed to be suitable for inclusion on the register.
- Need for Housing (a) core strategy outlines need for 593 new units; (b) house prices and cost of renting houses in the area (Mayo) is outlined (source daft.ie);
 (c) number of households on the waiting list 310; (d) % of houses available for purchase or rent is 2.2% with assessment of (a) (d) indicating that there is a need for housing in the area;
- Suitable for Housing (a) core strategy outlines need for 593 new units; (b) site is serviced with public water and sewer and within zoned area; (c) nothing affecting physical condition of the land with an extant permission with site deemed suitable for provision of housing;
- Section 5(1)(a)(iii) site or majority is vacant and idle;

5.2. Planning Authority Notice

5.3. Planning Authority decided under section 7(3) to issue a notice on 15 January 2018 stating that the site has been entered onto the Vacant Sites Register as of 1 January 2018. The notice was issued to Mr. Brian Bourke of Knocknalyre Ltd & Mr. Kieran Melvin.

6.0 **The Appeal**

6.1. Grounds of Appeal

An appeal has been submitted by one of the site owners (Mr. Kieran Melvin) and is summarised as follows:

- Site retained of land sold to a builder with no control over when builder might commence work;
- Initially site retained for a family member who is in no position to build a dwelling at present with vacant site levy not envisaged at that time;

 Builder not yet commenced but may start in coming year and unfair to impose levy as out of control (of appellant) at present and if building does commence can then make a decision to build or sell to the builder;

6.2. Planning Authority Response

No response received.

7.0 Assessment

7.1. Introduction

The site has been included on the register having regard to its residential zoning with the assessment from the Planning Authority including an assessment of the criteria outlined in Section 6(4) as outlined above in Section 5. As I outlined above, the appeal site has two owners with two folios within the site registered under VSL reference VSL BA 1. Folio MY45756F is registered to Kieran Melvin and folio MY60292F is registered to Knocknalyre Ltd with the lands within each of the folios outlined in the maps submitted by the Planning Authority. Two notices were issued for this site one to Brian Bourke Director of Knocknalyre Ltd and the other to Kieran Melvin. This appeal is made by one of the owners Kieran Melvin who owns the southern part of the site and notwithstanding the permission pertaining to the lands, arguably given the distinct site ownerships this site should have in my opinion been addressed as two separate sites. However, given the method employed by the PA to assign one registration to both sites, the decision of the Board either to confirm or cancel shall, I would suggest, relates to both folios.

7.2. Site Not Vacant or Idle

The appellant states that their site was retained having previously been part of a larger holding part of which was sold to a builder. It is stated that it was initially retained for a family member who is in no position to build a dwelling at present with the vacant site levy not envisaged at that time. It is stated that they have no control over when the builder might commence work on the lands and that it is unfair to impose a levy as it is out of the control (of appellant) at present and if building does

commence they can then make a decision to build or sell to the builder. In relation to the first matter, that being the potential of the builder to commence work on foot of a permission, the presence of a proposal to develop or an extant permission does not negate vacancy. I would refer to Circular Letter PL7/2016, Appendix 3 where it is stated that where a vacant site has an extant planning permission associated with it, this should not be a consideration in determining whether to apply the levy. It continues that if such a site meets the criteria for a vacant site in respect of either residential or regeneration land, then the levy may be applied. I note that a road and path are in place on part of the subject site.

7.3. Need for Housing in the Area

While the appellant does not reference the matter of need for housing in the area, from information submitted in respect of other current appeals in the Ballina area (including ABP-301034-18) it is apparent that there is little evidence of such a housing need.

I would refer the Board in this regard to Section 5(1)(a) of the Act which states that on Residential Land a site is a vacant site if:

- (i) the site is situated in an area in which there is a need for housing,
- (ii) the site is suitable for housing, and
- (iii) the site, or the majority of the site, is vacant or idle.

In this regard the site to be considered a vacant site must meet each of the three tests. While I have already outlined above my considerations in respect of the site not being vacant or idle I would like to address the matter of housing need as I would suggest given the zoning and the stated intention of the developer to proceed with an application that it could be deemed suitable for housing.

The test related to need for housing in an area is to be determined by reference to section 6(4) of the Act which states:

- (a) the housing strategy and the core strategy of the planning authority,
- (b) house prices and the cost of renting houses in the area,

- (c) the number of households qualified for social housing support in accordance with section 20 of the Housing (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 2009 that have specified the area as an area of choice for the receipt of such support and any changes to that number since the adoption of the planning authority's development plan, and
- (d) whether the number of habitable houses available for purchase or rent was less than 5 per cent of the total number of houses in the area.

I will address each in turn having regard to the evidence presented by the Planning Authority in their assessment to support its inclusion on the register.

7.3.1. The housing strategy and the core strategy of the planning authority

The first matter is the housing strategy and core strategy, of in this case, Mayo County Council. In the report appended to their assessment, the PA state, in relation to the core strategy, that the site is located on residential zoned land, that the population for Ballina in 2011 is 11086, that the population target for the plan period is 12,510, a projected increase of 1424 which requires an increase of housing units of 593. They then reference the Interim housing strategy included as Variation No. 1 of the Current County Development Plan and which they state provides that it is estimated that 2,823 households will be in need of support in obtaining housing at the start of 2020. This appears to be a County wide figure.

Table 1A from the core strategy of the Development Plan shows that Ballina requires 593 units to meet population targets from 2011-2020 as outlined by the PA in their assessment. However Table 1A shows an excess in housing provision in Ballina of c.635 units given that 1,228 units could be provided immediately with 799 vacant units, 52 unfinished housing estates (I assume that is the figure of units within unfinished housing estates) and 377 permitted units. Therefore I would suggest to the Board that the housing strategy and core strategy would not indicate that there is a need for housing in this area that being Ballina, given the excess in housing stock both existing and permitted.

7.3.2. House prices and the cost of renting houses in the area

The second matter in Section 6(4) is house prices and the cost of renting houses in the area. In the report appended by the PA to their assessment prior to placing the site on the register the data provided, sourced from www.daft.ie outlines rental and house prices for County Mayo sourced from Daft's Rental Price Report for Q1 of

2017 and the House Price Report for the same period. Values are also provided for quarters 3 & 4 of 2016 which indicate a quarter on quarter increase in both rent and house price costs. There are no details provided for Ballina.

I have undertaken a search on the same website and found 129 properties for sale in Ballina with 8 properties to rent. The above referenced current appeal (ABP-301034-18) states that the average price of properties is Ballina is c. €176,000 which they state is significantly behind average prices in the State (excluding main cities) where the average house price according to the CSO was €277,837 for new houses and €207,253 for second hand properties which would indicate a lack of housing demand or need in the area. Having regard to both the amount of available properties and the average cost when compared to those in the rest of the State I do not consider that it is reasonable to suggest that a housing need could be determined by reference to house prices and cost of renting.

7.3.3. The number of households qualified for social housing support

The third matter in Section 6(4) refers to the number of households qualified for social housing support. In the report appended by the PA to their assessment prior to placing the site on the register, the data is stated to be based on the data from the housing needs assessment carried out by Mayo County Council in 2016 where it is stated that the waiting list in September 2-16 showed a total of 310.

7.3.4. Whether the number of habitable houses available for purchase or rent was less than 5 per cent of the total number of houses in the area

The fourth matter in Section 6(4) refers to whether the number of habitable houses available for purchase or rent was less than 5 per cent of the total number of houses in the area. In the report appended by the PA to their assessment prior to placing the site on the register, the data is stated to be based on the data from the housing needs assessment carried out by Mayo County Council in 2016 where it is stated that within Ballina and its Environs that 124 units were available for sale and 18 available for rent and that this figure comprise 2.2% of the total housing stock of 6303.

While the criteria in Section 6(4)(d) refers to properties available for purchase or rent which in this instance would refer more reasonably to the number available on the

likes of daft rather than the number of vacant units, I would suggest to the Board that the number of vacant units outlined in Table 1A of the core strategy would further indicate the absence of a housing need in the area.

7.4. Conclusion

Having regard to the excess number of units in the town, the number of units for sale and house prices and rent costs in the area I do not consider that there is sufficient evidence to support a need for housing in Ballina and therefore the site would not satisfy the requirements Section 5(1)(a).

8.0 **Recommendation**

I recommend that in accordance with section 9(5) of the Urban Regeneration and Housing Act 2015, the Board should cancel the entry on the register of site (VSL BA 1) at lands between Belleek Manor and Belleek Lodge residential developments, Belleek, Ballina, Co. Mayo was vacant or idle for the 12 months concerned. Therefore, the entry on the Vacant Sites Register on the 1st January 2018 shall be removed.

9.0 Reasons and Considerations

9.1. Having regard to

- (a) the information submitted to the Board by the planning authority in relation to the entry of the site on the Vacant Sites Register,
- (b) the grounds of appeal submitted by the appellant,
- (c) the report of the Inspector,
- (d) the absence of evidence of a housing need in the area

the Board considered that it is appropriate that a notice be issued to the planning authority to cancel the entry on the Vacant Sites Register.

Una Crosse	
Senior Planning Inspector	

June 2018