

Inspector's Report

ABP-315969-23

Development	Retention of boundary fence / gate & all ancillary works and permission for modifications to existing fence & all ancillary works. Tirquin, Cavan, Co. Cavan.
Planning Authority	Cavan County Council
Planning Authority Reg. Ref.	22492
Applicant(s)	JB Trident Property Limited
Type of Application	Retention of permission and permission
Planning Authority Decision	Grant (temporary 3 year permission)
Type of Appeal	Third Party
Appellant(s)	Pat and Anna Smith
	Declan Sheridan.
Observer(s)	None
Date of Site Inspection	16/06/2023.

Inspector's Report

Inspector

Paul Caprani

Contents

1.0 Site	e Location and Description	4
2.0 Pro	pposed Development	4
3.0 Pla	anning Authority Decision	4
3.1.	Decision	4
3.2.	Planning Authority Reports	5
3.3.	Prescribed Bodies	5
3.4.	Third Party Observations	5
4.0 Pla	anning History	5
5.0 Po	licy Context	6
5.1.	Development Plan	6
5.2.	Natural Heritage Designations	6
5.3.	EIA Screening	6
6.0 The	e Appeal	6
6.1.	Grounds of Appeal	6
6.2.	Applicant Response	7
6.3.	Planning Authority Response	7
6.4.	Observations	
6.5.	Further Responses	Error! Bookmark not defined.
7.0 As	sessment	
8.0 Re	commendation	
9.0 Re	asons and Considerations	
10.0	ConditionsE	Error! Bookmark not defined.

1.0 Introduction

1.1. This case relates to 2 no. 3rd party appeals against the decision of Cavan Co Council to grant retention of planning permission for a boundary fence and gate and to permission is sought for the modifications to the existing fence.

2.0 Site Location and Description

2.1. The subject site is located in the south-eastern environs of Cavan Town approximately 1 km from the town centre. It is situated to the south of the R212, the main road linking the N3/N55 interchange with the Town Centre. It is located to the west of the slip road running southwards from the R212. The boundary fence surrounding the site comprises of a c.2m high metal green clad structure and gate. The site is currently undeveloped. The fence partially encloses an area of approximately 0.655 Ha. The is a residential dwelling to the immediate north east of the site and there is a public house and associated car park to the immediately to the north of the site. There are no other structures in the immediate vicinity of the site.

3.0 Proposed Development

3.1. Retention of planning permission is sought for the boundary fence and gate. Planning permission is also sought for permission to modify the existing fence. Details regarding the modifications are not detailed in the planning application. It is assumed that the fence is to be extended around the entire site, although I cannot find any specific refence to nature of the modifications sought. Should the Board consider it appropriate to grant planning permission, it might consider seeking further details in this regard.

4.0 Planning Authority Decision

4.1. Decision

4.1.1. Cavan Co Council issued notification to grant planning permission subject to 3 conditions. Condition No. 2, restricted the permission for a period of 3 years from the date of the order. Condition No. 3 required that within 2 months of the grant of

permission, artificial screening shall be incorporated along the entire extent of the boundary fence.

4.2. Planning Authority Reports

4.2.1. Planning Reports

The planers report notes that the site is governed by the zoning objective 'General Enterprise and Employment' and that there is adjoining residential or commercial development. It is considered that temporary fencing is acceptable having regard to the commercial zoning associated with the site provided that the developer be required to provided screening along the boundary and that the life of the permission is restricted to 3 years.

Planning permission was granted on this basis.

4.2.2. Other Technical Reports

None received.

4.3. Prescribed Bodies

None

4.4. Third Party Observations

Observations were received by both 3rd Parties. The content of these observations have been read and noted.

5.0 Planning History

- 5.1. Under Reg. Ref 22/278 Cavan Co Council refused planning permission for the retention of the same fence on the basis that the proposed development would have an adverse effect on the visual amenities of the area and would represent a haphazard piecemeal and disorderly development and would set an undesirable precedent for similar type development in the area.
- 5.2. Details of less relevant planning history is set out in the local authority planners report.

6.0 Policy Context

6.1. **Development Plan**

6.1.1. The site is governed by the policies and provision of the Cavan County Development plan 2022-2028. The site is zoned for 'General Enterprise and Employment uses'. This zoning seeks to facilitate opportunities for compatible industry and general employment uses, logistics and warehousing in a good quality physical environment. This should be highly accessible well designed permeable and legible.

6.2. Natural Heritage Designations

There are no designated European Sites in the vicinity of the subject site. The Lough Oughter and Associated Loughs SAC (site code 00007) are located are their closest point c 5 km to the northwest of the subject site.

6.3. EIA Screening

The development which is the subject of the application and appeal is not a class of development for which EIA is required.

7.0 The Appeal

7.1. Grounds of Appeal

The decision of the Council was the subject of 2 no. Third Party Appeals the grounds of which are set out below:

Appeal by Pat and Ann Smith

- This appeal was submitted by the residents of the adjoining dwelling. This objection raised concerns that the they were not being kept informed as to the progress of the application. The appellants are concerned that fence is impacting on their home in which they have resided for 40 years.

Appeal by Declan Sheridan

- This appeal argues that the fence for which retention of permission is sought is not in keeping with the immediate surrounding area. The proposed artificial fence screening is unacceptable and will set an undesirable precedent for similar developments in the area.
- Reference is made to Cavan Co Council's previous decision to refuse permission and it is argued that to simply add artificial screening does not satisfactorily address the visual amenity issues.
- The right of way was shown on the drawing submitted is incorrect and does not accurately reflect the extant right of way as indicated on the property portfolios.
- The proposal is a health and safety risk to pedestrians and motorist frequenting the bar to the north.
- The lands are up for sale and the temporary permission is merely transferring the problems to a potential purchaser.
- There is no rational explanation for the council's U-turn in granting planning permission.

7.2. Applicant Response

The Applicant has not submitted a response to the grounds of appeal.

7.3. Planning Authority Response

A response from the Planning Authority stated the following;

- With regard to planning precedent, it is stated that each case should be determined on its merits.
- The artificial screening is considered to be acceptable at a site which is zoned for general enterprise and employment.
- The fence is permitted for a temporary period only.
- The fence is compatible with mixed uses along the Dublin Road. And the site presents an opportunity for development and any future development would offer an opportunity of a more acceptable boundary treatment.

On this basis it is respectfully suggested that the grant of planning permission be upheld for a period of 3 years.

7.4. Observations

- None

8.0 Assessment

- 8.1. I have read the entire contents of the file, visited the site and its surrounding, I have had particular regard to the planning authority's decision and the conditions attached to the decision. I have also had regard to the issues raised in both third-party appeals. I consider the critical issues in determining the current application and appeal are:
 - Precedent decisions
 - Visual Amenity Issues
 - Right of way issues
 - Health and safety issues.

Precedent Issues

8.2. It is clear from the planning history that Cavan Co Council refused planning permission for the retention of the same fence under reg. ref 22/278 on 12/08/2022. With the exception of the incorporation of some artificial screening to be appended to the fence, the proposal currently before the Board does not differ substantially from that refused by the planning Authority last year. There appears to be no material change in circumstances which would justify or warrant an overturning of the previous decision issued. It consider, notwithstanding proposed artificial screening in which it is proposed to clad the fence, the development for which retention of planning permission is sought, continues to represent haphazard, piecemeal and disorderly development and would continue to set an undesirable precedent along this section of the Dublin Road.

8.3. The purpose or rationale for the fence is not entirely explained or justified in my opinion. On inspecting the site, I noted that there were small adverts attached to the fence entitled "Fencing Matters – For all Your Fencing Needs" with a contact number (see photo 3 attached). Part of the rationale for erecting the fence may be for the purpose of advertising fencing products as opposed to prohibiting unauthorised parking. Appropriate signage, or planting which clearly and explicitly prohibits unauthorised parking, or a wooden post fence on the site would be sufficient and would be a more aesthetically pleasing alternative to ensuring that no unauthorised parking takes place on the site.

Visual Amenities

- 8.4. The previous decision by the planning authority considered the application for which retention of planning permission is sought would have an adverse impact on the visual amenities on this prominent approach to Cavan Town. It is my considered opinion, having visited the site, that there have been no material changes in the application which would warrant or justify a reversal of this conclusion. The provision of artificial leaf-like cladding along the fence will in no way ameliorate or improve the visual appearance of the structure to the extent that it would make it acceptable or satisfactory in visual terms. While I acknowledge that visual issues are somewhat subjective, I consider that a c.2m height metal fence with artificial leaf cladding would look somewhat incongruous and inappropriate on the site in question and would therefore do little or nothing to improve the visual amenities of the area.
- 8.5. The fact the the planning authority has limited the retention of the fence for a period of 3 years is in my view a tacit acknowledgment that the fence in question is inappropriate for the site in question. And on this basis, it is considered that the visual amenities of the area would be better served by the outright removal of the fence.

Right of Way Issues

8.6. Based on the limited information submitted with the application, the Board is not in a position to adjudicate as to whether or not the right of way is correctly depicted on the application drawings. Furthermore as indicated in the Development Management Guidelines for Planning Authorities (DOEHLG 2007), disputes in relation to land

ownership issues are a civil matter and therefore a matter for the Courts rather than the planning authority or An Bord Pleanála.

Health and Safety Issues

8.7. The retention of the fence does not in my view give rise to any significant health and safety issues which would justify or warrant a reason for refusal in this instance.

Permission for Modifications

8.8. As stated previously in my report, the planning permission sought under the current application for modifications, under than the application of artificial leaves to the fencing is not entirely clear. If the Board are minded to grant retention of planning permission in this instance, it might consider it appropriate to seek clarification on this matter.

9.0 Appropriate Assessment

9.1. Having regard to the nature and scale of the proposed development and nature of the receiving environment together with the proximity to the nearest European site (c.5km away), no Appropriate Assessment issues arise, and it is not considered that the proposed development would be likely to have a significant effect individually or in combination with other plans or projects on a European site.

10.0 Recommendation

10.1. Arising from my assessment above, I recommend that the Board overturn the decision of the planning authority and refuse retention of planning permission for the reasons and considerations set out below.

11.0 **Reasons and Considerations**

11.1. Having regard to the design and location of the fence for which retention of planning permission is sought, it is considered that the fence, notwithstanding the proposed artificial screening, would have an adverse impact on the visual amenities of the area on a prominent approach road to Cavan Town and would represent haphazard

piecemeal and disorderly development, would set an undesirable precedent and would therefore by contrary to the proper planning and sustainable development of the area.

I confirm that this report represents my professional planning assessment, judgement and opinion on the matter assigned to me and that no person has influenced or sought to influence, directly or indirectly, the exercise of my professional judgement in an improper or inappropriate way.

Paul Caprani Planning Inspector

June 18th 2023