

15. VULNERABILITY OF THE PROJECT TO MAJOR ACCIDENTS AND NATURAL DISASTERS

15.1 Introduction

This section of the Environmental Impact Assessment Report (EIAR) describes the likely significant effects on the environment arising from the vulnerability of the proposed Sheskin South Wind Farm Project (the “Proposed Development”) as detailed in Chapter 4 to risks of major accidents and/or natural disasters. It has been completed in accordance with the guidance set out by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in ‘*Guidelines on Information to be contained in Environmental Impact Statements*’ (EPA, 2022) and the European Commission in relation to Environmental Impact Assessment of Projects (Directive 2011/92/EU, as amended by 2014/52/EU), namely ‘*Guidance on the preparation of the Environmental Impact Assessment Report*’.

The assessment of the vulnerability of the Proposed Development to major accidents and natural disasters is carried out in compliance with the EIA Directive as amended which states the need to assess:

“the expected significant adverse effects of the project on the environment deriving from the vulnerability of the project to risks of major accidents and/or natural disasters which are relevant to the project concerned.”

The objective of this assessment is to ensure that appropriate precautionary actions are taken for those projects.

“because of their vulnerability to major accidents and/or natural disasters, are likely to have significant adverse effects on the environment”.

Based on the requirements of the EIA Directive, this chapter seeks to determine:

- The relevant major accidents and/or natural disasters, if any, that the Project could be vulnerable to;
- The potential for these major accidents and/or natural disasters to result in likely significant adverse environmental effect(s); and
- The measures that are in place, or need to be in place, to prevent or mitigate the likely significant adverse effects of such events on the environment.

15.1.1 Statement of Authority

This section of the EIAR has been prepared by Karen Mulryan and reviewed by Eoin McCarthy and Michael Watson. Karen is an Environmental Scientist with over 6 years’ experience in private practice in both the UK and Ireland, where she has completed numerous assessments for EIAs and has experience composing a variety of EIAR chapters; particularly relating to wind energy. Eoin McCarthy (B.Sc. Env.), is a Senior Environmental Scientist and Project Manager with MKO. Eoin holds B.Sc. (Hons) in Environmental Science from NUI, Galway. Eoin has over 11 years’ experience in the preparation of EIARs for wind energy developments. Michael has over 20 years’ experience in the environmental sector. Following the completion of his master’s degree in Environmental Resource Management, Geography, from National University of Ireland, Maynooth he worked for the Geological Survey of Ireland. All of the contributors to this chapter have completed the same for a number of other wind farm development EIARs.

15.2 Assessment Methodology

The following sources of information and literature pertinent to the area were used in the preparation of this section:

- Census of Ireland,
- Regional Spatial and Economic Strategy (RSES) 2020-2032, published by the Northern and Western Regional Assembly on 23 January 2020,
- Mayo County Development Plan 2021 - 2027,
- Mayo County Council Website, and
- Fáilte Ireland.

Major accidents and natural disasters are hazards which have the potential to affect the Proposed Development and consequently have potential impacts on the environment. These include accidents during construction and operation caused by operational failure and/or natural hazards. The assessment of the risk of major accidents and/or disaster considers all factors defined in the EIA Directive that have been considered in this EIAR, i.e., population and human health, biodiversity, land, soil (peat stability), water, air and climate and material assets, cultural heritage and the landscape.

15.2.1 Legislative Context

15.2.1.1 Legislation

An assessment of the following key elements was undertaken in accordance with the EIA Directive as amended:

- The vulnerability of the proposed project to potential accidents and disasters
- The proposed project's potential to cause major accidents or disasters which pose a risk to the environment.

The information relevant to major accidents and/or disasters to be included in the EIAR is set out in paragraph 8 of Annex IV of the EIA Directive as follows:

“(8) A description of the expected significant adverse effects of the project on the environment deriving from the vulnerability of the project to risks of major accidents and/or disasters which are relevant to the project concerned. Relevant information available and obtained through risk assessments pursuant to Union legislation such as Directive 2012/18/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council or Council Directive 2009/71/Euratom or relevant assessments carried out pursuant to national legislation may be used for this purpose provided that the requirements of this Directive are met. Where appropriate, this description should include measures envisaged to prevent or mitigate the significant adverse effects of such events on the environment and details of the preparedness for and proposed response to such emergencies”.

15.2.1.2 Guidance Documents

This chapter has been prepared in accordance with the following guidance:

- European Commission. (2017). *Environmental Impact Assessment of Projects – Guidance on the preparation of Environmental Impact Assessment Reports*
- Environmental Protection Agency. (2022). *Guidelines on the Information to be Contained in Environmental Impact Assessment Reports*
- Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government (2010) *A Guide to Risk Assessment in Major Emergency Management*

- Environmental Protect Agency (2014) *Guidance on Assessing and Costing Environmental Liabilities*
- Department of Defence (2020) *A National Risk Assessment for Ireland*
- HSE Emergency Management Area 2 Crisis Management Team Major Emergency Plan: Covering Geographical Areas of Counties Galway, Mayo and Roscommon (May 2022)

15.2.2 Categorisation of the Baseline Environment

A desk-study has been completed to establish the baseline environment for which the proposed risk assessment is being carried out. This will influence both the likelihood and the impact of a major accident or natural disaster. Local and regional context has been established prior to undertaking the risk assessment to develop an understanding of the vulnerability and resilience of the area to emergency situations.

Further detail on the baseline environment is provided in Section 15.3.

15.2.3 Impact Assessment Methodology

15.2.3.1 Introduction

This assessment is focused on an understanding that the Proposed Development will be designed, built and operated in line with the methodologies and measures prescribed in this EIAR. Therefore, the overall vulnerability of the Proposed Development to risks of major accidents and natural disasters is considered low.

Current EIA practice already includes an assessment of some potential accidents and disaster scenarios such as pollution incidents to ground and watercourses as well as assessment of flooding events and peat instability. These are described in detail in the relevant EIAR assessment chapters (Refer to Chapters 5 to 14 for further detail).

15.2.3.2 Site-Specific Risk Assessment Methodology

A site-specific risk assessment identifies and quantifies risks focusing on unplanned, but possible and plausible events occurring during the construction and operation of the Proposed Development. The approach to identifying and quantifying risks associated with the Proposed Development by means of a site-specific risk assessment is derived from the EPA ‘*Guidance on Assessing and Costing Environmental Liabilities*’ document¹. The following steps were taken as part of the site-specific risk assessment:

- Risk identification
- Risk classification, likelihood and consequence; and
- Risk evaluation

15.2.3.2.1 Risk Identification

Risks have been reviewed through the identification of reasonably foreseeable risks in consultation with relevant contributors to this EIAR (refer to *Statements of Authority* in Chapters 5 to 14 of this EIAR). The identification of risks has focused on non-standard but plausible incidents that could occur at or as a result of the Proposed Development during construction and operation.

¹ EPA (2014) *Guidance on assessing and costing environmental liabilities*. Available at https://www.epa.ie/publications/compliance-enforcement/licensees/reporting/financial-provisions/EPA_OEE-Guidance-and-Assessing-WEB.pdf

In accordance with the European Commission EIAR Guidance (EC, 2017), risks are identified in respect of the projects:

1. *Potential to cause accidents and/or disasters,*
2. *Vulnerability to potential disaster/accident*

15.2.3.2.2 Risk Classification

Classification of Likelihood

After identifying the potential risks, the likelihood of occurrence of each risk has been assessed. An analysis of safety procedures and proposed environmental controls was considered when estimating likelihood of identified potential risks occurring. Table 15-1 defines the likelihood ratings that have been applied.

The approach adopted has assumed a ‘risk likelihood’ where one or more aspects of the likelihood description are met.

Table 15-1 Classification of Likelihood (Source: Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government, 2010)

Ranking	Likelihood	Description
1	Extremely Unlikely	May occur only in exceptional circumstances; once every 500 or more years
2	Very Unlikely	Is not expected to occur; and/or no recorded incidents or anecdotal evidence; and/or very few incidents in associated organisations, facilities or communities; and / or little opportunity, reason or means to occur; may occur once every 100-500 years.
3	Unlikely	May occur at some time; and /or few, infrequent, random recorded incidents or little anecdotal evidence; some incidents in associated or comparable organisation’s worldwide; some opportunity, reason or means to occur; may occur once per 10-100 years.
4	Likely	Likely to or may occur; regular recorded incidents and strong anecdotal evidence and will probably occur once per 1-10 years
5	Very Likely	Very likely to occur; high level of recorded incidents and/or strong anecdotal evidence. Will probably occur more than once a year.

Classification of Consequence

The consequence rating assigned to each risk has assumed that all proposed mitigation measures and/or safety procedures have failed to prevent the major accident and/or disaster. The consequence of the impact if the event occurs has been assigned as described in Table 15-2.

The consequence of a risk to/from the Proposed Development has been determined where one or more aspects of the consequence description are met, i.e., risks that have no consequence have been excluded from the assessment.

Table 15-2 Classification of Impact (Source: DoEHLG, 2010)

Ranking	Likelihood	Impact	Description
1	Minor	Life, Health, Welfare Environment Infrastructure Social	Small number of people affected; no fatalities and small number of minor injuries with first aid treatment. No contamination, localised effects <€0.5M Minor localised disruption to community services or infrastructure (<6 hours).
2	Limited	Life, Health, Welfare Environment Infrastructure Social	Single fatality; limited number of people affected; a few serious injuries with hospitalisation and medical treatment required. Localised displacement of a small number of people for 6-24 hours. Personal support satisfied through local arrangements. Simple contamination, localised effects of short duration €0.5-3M Normal community functioning with some inconvenience.
3	Serious	Life, Health, Welfare Environment Infrastructure Social	Significant number of people in affected area impacted with multiple fatalities (<5), multiple serious or extensive injuries (20), significant hospitalisation. Large number of people displaced for 6-24 hours or possibly beyond; up to 500 evacuated. External resources required for personal support. Simple contamination, widespread effects or extended duration €3-10M

			Community only partially functioning, some services available.
4	Very Serious	Life, Health, Welfare Environment Infrastructure Social	5 to 50 fatalities, up to 100 serious injuries, up to 2000 evacuated Heavy contamination, localised effects or extended duration €10-25M Community functioning poorly, minimal services available
5	Catastrophic	Life, Health, Welfare Environment Infrastructure Social	Large numbers of people impacted with significant numbers of fatalities (>50), injuries in the hundreds, more than 2000 evacuated. Very heavy contamination, widespread effects of extended duration. >€25M Serious damage to infrastructure causing significant disruption to, or loss of, key services for prolonged period. Community unable to function without significant support.

Risk Evaluation

Once classified, the likelihood and consequence ratings have been multiplied to establish a ‘risk score’ to support the evaluation of risks by means of a risk matrix.

The risk matrix sourced from the DoEHLG *Guide to Risk Assessment in Major Emergency Management* (and as outlined in Table 15-3) indicates the critical nature of each risk. This risk matrix has therefore been applied to evaluate each of the risks associated with the Proposed Development. The risk matrix is colour coded to provide a broad indication of the critical nature of each risk:

- > The red zone represents ‘high risk scenarios’;
- > The amber zone represents ‘medium risk scenarios’; and
- > The green zone represents ‘low risk scenarios.’

Table 15-3 Classification of Impact (Source: DoEHLG, 2010)

		Consequence Rating				
		1. Minor	2. Limited	3. Serious	4. Very Serious	5. Catastrophic
Likelihood Rating	5. Very Likely					
	4. Likely					
	3. Unlikely					
	2. Very Unlikely					
	1. Extremely Unlikely					

15.3

Project Hazard Analysis

The HSE *Emergency Management Area 2 Crisis Management Team Major Emergency Plan May 2022* outlines several hazard categories which may have the potential to lead to a major emergency in Area 2- Galway, Roscommon and Mayo. The hazard categories include Natural, Transportation, Technological and Civil. The hazard categories, types and subtypes, and their relevance to the Proposed Development, are listed below in Table 15-4.

Table 15-4 HSE Emergency Plan hazard types (HSE Area 2 Crisis Management Team Major Emergency Plan May 2022)

Natural Hazards			
Category	Type	Subtype	Relevance to the Project
Meteorological	Storm / Gale Both coastal and inland areas can be affected by high winds	Both coastal and inland areas can be affected by high winds	Poor driving conditions Loss of infrastructure Flooding Falling Trees
	Heavy Snow	Blizzards- Poor visibility	Poor Driving conditions Loss of infrastructure
	Severe Cold / Frost extremes of Temperature	Icy Roads /Impassable Roads Hypothermia Freezing of Supply Network	Poor Driving Conditions Public Health Risk
	Thunder & Lightening Dense/ Persistent Fog Heat Wave /Drought		Loss of Infrastructure Poor driving conditions Public Health Risk

		Road Traffic collisions	
Hydrological	Flooding	Coastal / Inland	Potential for flooding via on-site rivers: Sheskin Stream
	Heavy Rain		May lead to flooding in Low Lying areas or areas with poor drainage
Geological	Landslide		Peat Instability
	Forest / Wilderness fire - Air Pollution		Majority of Proposed Development Site and some of surrounding area is forested.
Transportation Hazards			
Category	Type	Subtype	Project Hazard
Aviation	Aircraft Collision /Loss	Mid Air and Land	Not Applicable
Road	Multiple Road Traffic Collision		Public Roads via which construction staff and materials access the site.
	Hazmat		Fuel Transport to/from site
	Bridge		Not Applicable
Water	Inland Water ways	Pleasure Craft/Cruises Pollution from above	Not Applicable
	Coastal	Car Ferry/ passenger Ferries	Not Applicable
Technological Hazards			
Category	Type	Subtype	Project Hazard
Industrial Accidents	Explosions		Damage to Infrastructure Personal Injuries/ fatalities
	Petrochemical Fires		Personal Injuries, severe burns/ fatalities Air Pollution
	Industrial Fires	LPG Tank Fire	Not Applicable

	Gas Emission		Gas Networks Ireland Pipeline present on site
	Fluid/ Fuel Emission		Refuelling on site
Explosions	Domestic	Natural Gas explosion	Not Applicable
	Bomb		Not Applicable
	LPG		Not Applicable
	Pipeline		Gas Networks Ireland Pipeline present on site
Fires			Air Pollution
Building Collapse			Not Applicable
Hazardous substance		Accident at site	Not Applicable
		Transportation accident	Hazmat on roads
		Weapons	Not Applicable
	Biological	Leak/Weapons	Not Applicable
	Radiological	“Dirty Bomb”	Not Applicable
		Industrial Accident	Damage to Infrastructure Personal Injuries/ fatalities
		Health facilities	Not Applicable
Pollution/Contamination	Air/Water Pollution		Fire Sediment-laden Water Run Off Fuel/hydrocarbon spill/leak
Civil Hazards			
Category	Type	Subtype	Project Hazard
Major Crowd Safety	(Movement, crushing etc.)	Pop Concerts Sports Events Fireworks displays Air shows	Not Applicable
Loss of Critical Infrastructure	Energy and Power Supply	Electricity	Connection to national grid
		Natural Gas	Gas Networks Ireland Pipeline present on site

		Fuel Oil	Not Applicable
		Communications	Telecom operators, mobile phone networks
Food Situation Crisis		Food Contamination Drought	Not Applicable
Water Supply		Shortage/ Contamination Freezing /Flooding	Not Applicable
Epidemics and pandemic		Communicable diseases	Not Applicable
Animal Disease		Foot & Mouth Avian Influenza	Not Applicable
Terrorism	Bombs	Car-bombs	Not Applicable
		Bombs in buildings	Not Applicable
		Fire-bombing	Not Applicable
	CBRNE		Not Applicable
	Disruption	Bomb scares	Not Applicable

The risks which are most relevant to this assessment are described in the sections that follow.

15.3.2 Meteorological

Ireland has a temperate, oceanic climate, resulting in mild winters and cool summers. The Proposed Development site in northwest Mayo, approximately 11 km south of the Atlantic Coastline. The dominant influence on Ireland’s climate is the Atlantic Ocean. As a consequence, Ireland does not suffer from the extremes of temperature experienced by many other countries at similar latitude. The hills and mountains, many of which are near the coasts, provide shelter from strong winds and from the direct oceanic influence.

The Met Éireann weather station at Belmullet, Co. Mayo, is the nearest weather and climate monitoring station to the optimised development site that has meteorological data recorded for the 30-year period from 1981-2010. The monitoring station is located approximately 34.7 kilometres northwest of the site. The wettest months are October and December, and May is usually the driest. August is the warmest month with a mean daily temperature of 17.8° Celsius.

The windier part of the year lasts for 5.5 months, from 11 October to 27 March, with average wind speeds of more than 28.9 kilometres per hour. The windiest month of the year in Belmullet is January, with an average hourly wind speed of 35.1 kilometres per hour. The calmer time of year lasts for 6.5 months, from 27 March to 11 October. The calmest month of the year in Belmullet is July, with an average hourly wind speed of 22.5 kilometres per hour.

The works programme for the construction stage of the development will take account of weather forecasts and work will be suspended in the case of extreme weather events.

The following forecasting and weather warning systems are available and will be used on a daily basis at the site to direct proposed construction activities:

- General Forecasts: Available on a national, regional and county level from the Met Eireann website (www.met.ie/forecasts). These provide general information on weather patterns including rainfall, wind speed and direction but do not provide any quantitative rainfall estimates;
- Weather Warning or Advisories: Met Éireann’s main suite of warnings are issued by the duty forecaster between 10am and midday and are updated as necessary as new information becomes available. In general, warnings will not be issued more than 60-hours ahead of the expected adverse weather but advisories on potential hazards are issued up to a week in advance. The three warning categories are:
 - Yellow: Not unusual weather. Localised danger.
 - Orange: Infrequent. Dangerous/disruptive.
 - Red: Rare. Extremely dangerous/destructive.
- MeteoAlarm: Alerts to the possible occurrence of severe weather for the next 2 days. Less useful than general forecasts as only available on a provincial scale;
- 3-hour Rainfall Maps: Forecast quantitative rainfall amounts for the next 3 hours but does not account for possible heavy localised events;
- Rainfall Radar Images: Images covering the entire country are freely available from the Met Eireann website (www.met.ie/latest/rainfall_radar.asp). The images are a composite of radar data from Shannon and Dublin airports and give a picture of current rainfall extent and intensity. Images show a quantitative measure of recent rainfall. A 3-hour record is given and is updated every 15 minutes. Radar images are not predictive; and,
- Consultancy Service: Met Eireann provide a 24-hour telephone consultancy service. The forecaster will provide interpretation of weather data and give the best available forecast for the area of interest.

15.3.3 Hydrological

A Flood risk assessment for the Proposed Development site is included as Appendix 4-1 of this EIAR.

OPW’s flood risk maps (<https://www.floodinfo.ie/map/floodmaps/>) and OSI’s historical 6-inch sheets and 25-inch basemaps were consulted to identify if any part of the Proposed Development site may be at risk of fluvial flooding.

The National Indicative Fluvial flood risk map shows a “medium probability” of fluvial flooding downstream and outside of the Proposed Development site. Based on the accompanying text to the flood risk map, the “Medium probability” extent of flooding is a “modelled extent of land that might be flooded by rivers (fluvial flooding) during a theoretical or ‘design’ flood event with an estimated probability of occurrence, rather than information for actual floods that have occurred in the past.” In this instance, the probability of occurrence is 100:1, i.e., a 100-year return period event, noting that it does not account for possible effects of climate change.

Historical OSI 6- or 25-inch sheets for the Proposed Development site do not identify any lands that are “liable to flood”. GSI’s groundwater flooding probability maps also do not indicate a groundwater flood risk within or downgradient of the site.

All Proposed Development infrastructure is located outside and above the mapped 1,000-year flood level and, therefore, all infrastructure is located in Flood Zone C (Low Risk).

There are no recorded recurring flood events specifically within the Proposed Development site or downstream on the Sheskin River (Figure 9-8). The nearest mapped single flood event is on the

Owenmore River at a location near Bangor Erris. At this location, OPW's flood incident reporting describes the river overflowing its banks on 12 July 1997 after 49.5 mm of rain had fallen in Bangor Erris over just a 2-hour period. This would equate to a 100-year rainfall event. The risk of the wind farm contributing to downstream flooding is also very low. Robust drainage measures on the site will include swales, silt traps, check dams, settlement ponds and buffered outfalls. Please refer to the Chapter 9 of this EIAR for further details in relation to the hydrological mitigation measures..

15.3.4 Peat Stability

A comprehensive and robust Peat Stability Assessment was undertaken for the Proposed Development and used to inform the design process including the siting of all proposed main infrastructure locations and drainage control measures. The Peat Stability Assessment was informed by the Scottish Government's 2017 guidance document, *Peat Landslide Hazard and Risk Assessments: Best Practice Guide for Proposed Electricity Generation Developments*. Intrusive ground investigation works were carried out as part of the peat stability assessment included peat depth probing, shear strength testing, ground augering/coring and trial pitting. The extensive suite of ground investigations, the robust peat stability assessment and the lessons learned from previous peat slide events on similar sites will ensure that the risk of such an event, occurring during the construction, operation or decommissioning of the Proposed Development site is minimised.

The findings of the peat assessment showed that the site has a low risk of peat failure and is suitable for the proposed wind farm development. The findings include recommendations and control measures for construction work in peat lands, all of which will be implemented in full to ensure that all works adhere to an acceptable standard of safety.

The site is typically covered in blanket peat with undulating terrain and widespread mature and young forestry. Peat thicknesses recorded during the site walkovers from 960 probes ranged from 0.2 to 5.7m with an average depth of 2.1m. 53% of the probes recorded peat depths of less than 2.0m, with 83% of peat depth probes recorded peat depths of less than 3.0m. The deeper peat areas were avoided, where possible, when optimising the wind farm layout for site. The average peat depth at any of the proposed turbine locations is 3.0m. Slope inclinations at the main infrastructure locations range from 2 to 8 degrees.

An analysis of peat sliding was carried out at the main infrastructure locations across the Proposed Development site for both the undrained and drained conditions. The purpose of the analysis was to determine the Factor of Safety (FoS) of the peat slopes.

An undrained analysis was carried out, which applies in the short-term during construction. For the undrained condition, the calculated FoS for load conditions 1 and 2 for the locations analysed, showed that all locations have an acceptable FoS of greater than 1.3, indicating a low risk of peat failure. The undrained analysis is considered the most critical condition for the peat slopes.

A drained analysis was also carried out, which examined the effect of in particular, rainfall on the existing stability of the natural peat slopes on site. For the drained condition, the calculated FoS, showed that all locations have an acceptable FoS of greater than 1.3.

The peat stability risk assessment at each infrastructure location, along access roads, in peat placement areas and at settlement pond locations identified a number of mitigation/control measures to reduce the potential risk of peat failure. See Appendix 8-1 (Appendix B) of this EIAR for details of the required mitigation/control measures for each infrastructure element.

In summary, the findings of the peat assessment showed that the Proposed Development has an acceptable margin of safety, is suitable for the proposed wind farm development and is considered to be at low risk of peat failure provided appropriate mitigation measures, such as implementing and maintaining an appropriate drainage system are implemented. The findings include recommendations

and mitigation/control measures for construction work in peat lands, all of which will be implemented in full to ensure that all works adhere to an acceptable standard of safety.

Please see Chapter 8 Geology and Soils and Appendix 8-1 Peat Stability Assessment for more details.

15.3.5 Traffic

The Proposed Development will utilise the existing local road network during the construction phase. Construction related traffic will originate from the delivery of materials to site, removal of surplus excavated material from site and transport of employees to, from and throughout the site. The localised traffic disruptions will be mitigated through the use of industry standard traffic management measures. Please see Chapter 14 Material Assets and Appendix 14-2: Traffic Management Plan for details.

Appendix 14-2: Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is provided specifying details relating to traffic management. Prior to the commencement of the construction phase of the Proposed Development a detailed Traffic Management Plan will be prepared by the Contractor for agreement with the relevant local authorities and An Garda Síochána. The TMP includes requirements for the following:

- > Traffic Management Coordinator.
- > Delivery Programme
- > Information to locals
- > A Pre and Post Construction Condition Survey
- > Liaison with the relevant local authority
- > Implementation of temporary alterations to road network at critical locations
- > Identification of delivery routes
- > Delivery times of large turbine components
- > Travel plan for construction workers
- > Additional measures
- > Re-instatement works

Please see Chapter 14 Material Assets and Appendix 14-2 Outline Traffic Management Plan for details.

15.3.6 Industrial Accident

The Proposed Development is not connected to or in close proximity of any site regulated under the Control of Major Accident Hazards Involving Dangerous Substances Regulations (SEVESO sites), therefore no significant effects associated with major industrial accidents involving dangerous substances are anticipated. Petrochemical fires and fires from fuel emissions, leakages and spillages could occur causing personal injury, structural damage and forest fires.

15.3.7 Loss of Critical Infrastructure

EirGrid operates and develops Ireland's electricity grid. This includes interconnecting to neighbouring grids and running the wholesale electricity market. The grid safely brings power from generators such as wind farms to the ESB network that supplies homes and business in Ireland. It also brings power directly to large energy users. There are two types of electricity generation: synchronous generation and non-synchronous generation. Synchronous generation produces the same amount of electricity all the time e.g. fossil fuels. Non-synchronous generation produces a varying amounts of electricity depending on the energy available. Eirgrid operate the grid from National Control Centres (NCCs) in Dublin and Belfast, matching electricity production to customer demand, switching from synchronous to non-synchronous where required to ensure no power outages. Therefore, any technical fault at the Proposed Development would not impact the local or national energy supply.

The Proposed Development is anticipated to connect to the Bellacorick 110kV substation approximately 6.8km southeast of the development site.

A Gas Networks Ireland (GNI) Pipeline crosses the southern half of the Proposed Development site (as shown in Figure 3-1b of this EIAR). Construction works in the immediate of the gas pipeline includes road upgrades adjacent to the gas pipeline and construction of new roads over the pipeline. These construction works, if carried out in the absence of the necessary control measures may cause damage to the gas pipeline. In the unlikely event of damage to the gas pipeline, that gas supply will need to be shut off. This will have a short-term significant negative impact on gas supply. Although unlikely, wind farm construction activities related to the construction of roads within the pipeline corridor may cause leaks or pipeline breakages. This will have a short term negative impact on health and safety of construction staff and nearby receptors.

As required in the GNI *Code of Practise*, where works e.g. road upgrades and crossing points fall within these zones listed in Chapter 14, Section 14.2.6 of this EIAR, notification will be given to GNI.

15.3.8 Contamination

The Proposed Development has the potential to cause contamination and pollution of groundwater and surface water from potential release of hydrocarbons, earthworks and excavations on site. A Construction Environment Management Plan (CEMP) has been prepared in conjunction with the Environmental Impact Assessment Report (EIAR) and the Natura Impact Statement (NIS) which accompanies the planning application for the Proposed Development.

Section 3 of the CEMP sets out details of the environmental controls to be implemented on site. The CEMP provided details on site drainage measures, peat stability monitoring measures, waste management and pollution prevention measures for refuelling and managing hazardous materials and cement-based products. The CEMP also sets out the Emergency Response Procedure to be adopted in the event of an emergency including contamination, health and safety and environmental protection. The CEMP provides details on all mitigation and monitoring measures to be actioned prior to construction, during the construction, operation and decommissioning phase. The CEMP will be subject to ongoing review through regular environmental auditing and site inspections during the construction phase. This will confirm the efficacy and implementation of all mitigation measures and commitments identified in the application documentation. Please see Chapter 4 Description and Appendix 4-3 CEMP for details.

15.3.9 Health and Safety

During construction of the Proposed Development, all staff will be made aware of and adhere to the Health & Safety Authority's '*Guidelines on the Procurement, Design and Management Requirements of the Safety, Health and Welfare at Work (Construction) Regulations 2013*'. This will encompass the use of all necessary Personal Protective Equipment and adherence to the site Health and Safety Plan. An Emergency Response Plan (ERP) which will be prepared prior to the construction phase and implemented and adhered to on site. The ERP provides details of procedures to be adopted in the event of an emergency in terms of site health and safety and environmental protection. Please see Chapter 4 Description and Appendix 4-3 CEMP for details.

15.3.10 Turbine Safety

Turbines pose no threat to the health and safety of the general public. The Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government (DoEHLG)'s '*Wind Energy Development Guidelines for Planning Authorities 2006*' state that there are no specific safety considerations in relation to the operation of wind turbines. Fencing or other restrictions are not necessary for safety considerations. People or animals can safely walk up to the base of the turbines.

The DoEHLG Guidelines state that there is a very remote possibility of injury to people from flying fragments of ice or from a damaged blade. However, most blades are composite structures with no bolts or separate components and the danger is therefore minimised. The build-up of ice on turbines is unlikely to present problems. The wind turbines will be fitted with anti-vibration sensors, which will detect any imbalance caused by icing of the blades. The sensors will cause the turbine to wait until the blades have been de-iced prior to beginning operation.

Turbine blades are manufactured of glass reinforced plastic which will prevent any likelihood of an increase in lightning strikes within the site of the Proposed Development or the local area. Lightning protection conduits will be integral to the construction of the turbines. Lightning conduction cables, encased in protection conduits, will follow the electrical cable run, from the nacelle to the base of the turbine. The conduction cables will be earthed adjacent to the turbine base. The earthing system will be installed during the construction of the turbine foundations.

15.3.11 Electromagnetic Interference

The provision of underground electric cables of the capacity proposed is common practice throughout the country and installation to the required specification does not give rise to any specific health concerns.

The extremely low frequency (ELF) electric and magnetic fields (EMF) associated with the operation of the proposed cables fully comply with the international guidelines for ELF-EMF set by the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP), a formal advisory agency to the World Health Organisation, as well as the EU guidelines for human exposure to EMF. Accordingly, there will be no operational impact on properties (residential or other uses), construction staff, operational & maintenance staff or recreational users of the site as the ICNIRP guidelines will not be exceeded at any distances even directly above the cables.

The ESB document ‘EMF & You’ (ESB, 2017)² provides further practical information on EMF. Further details on the potential impacts of electromagnetic interference to telecommunications and aviation are presented in Chapter 14 Material Assets of this EIAR.

15.4 Risk Assessment

This section outlines the possible risks associated with the Proposed Development for the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.

These risks have been assessed in accordance with the relevant classification as outlined in Table 15-1 and Table 15-2.

As outlined in Section 15.2.3.2.2, the consequence rating assigned to each potential risk assumes that all proposed mitigation measures and safety procedures have failed to prevent the major accident and/or disaster.

15.4.1.1 Likely Significant Effects

15.4.1.1.1 Do-Nothing Scenario

If the Proposed Development were not to proceed the opportunity to supply a significant amount of renewable electricity to the national grid would be lost. The opportunity to generate renewable energy

² *EMF & You: Information about Electric & Magnetic Fields and the electricity network in Ireland Available at: https://esb.ie/docs/default-source/default-document-library/emf-public-information_booklet_v9.pdf?sfvrsn=0*

and electrical supply to the national grid would be lost. Commercial forestry operations, existing land-use practices and recreational amenities would continue at the site.

15.4.1.1.2 Assessment of Effects During Construction

A risk register has been developed which contains all potentially relevant risks identified during the construction phase of the Proposed Development. Six risks specific to the construction of the Proposed Development have been identified and are presented in Table 15.5.

Table 15-5 Risk Register - Construction Phase

Risk ID	Potential Risk	Possible Cause
Potential vulnerability to disaster risks		
A	Severe Weather Risk to construction activity on site	Extreme weather- periods of heavy rainfall, taking into account climate change and strong winds
B	Flooding High levels of surface water on site	Extreme weather- periods of heavy rainfall, taking into account climate change and strong winds
C	Peat Stability Movement of peat within the site during construction	Mismanagement of excavated material on site Severe weather conditions- storm, flooding
Potential to cause accidents and / or disasters.		
D	Traffic Incident Collisions onsite and offsite with vehicles involved in construction of Project	Driver negligence or failure of vehicular operations on site roads. Traffic Management Plan not implemented
E	Contamination Discharge or spillage of fuel, chemical solvents into watercourse or percolated to groundwater	Fuel spillage during delivery to site. Failure of fuel storage tank or tanks in plant and machinery and vehicles. Drainage and seepage water resulting from infrastructure excavation; Stockpiled excavated material providing a point source of exposed sediment; Construction of the Proposed Development cable trench resulting in

		entrainment of sediment from the excavations during construction; and, Erosion of sediment from emplaced site drainage channels.
F	Industrial Accident- Fire, gas explosion	Equipment or infrastructure failure; Damage to GNI infrastructure, Electrical problems; and Employee negligence.

15.4.1.1.3 Assessment of Effect During Operation

Six risks specific to the operation of the Proposed Development have been identified and are presented in Table 15-6.

Table 15-6 Risk Register – Operational Phase

Risk ID	Potential Risk	Possible Cause
Potential vulnerability to disaster risks		
G	Contamination Discharge or spillage of fuel, chemical solvents, sewage or wastewater into watercourse or percolated to groundwater	A vehicular incident on the public road involving fuel, wastewater or sewage transportation in the operational phase.
Potential to cause accidents and / or disasters.		
H	Industrial Accident - Fire / Gas Explosion	Equipment or infrastructure failure; Electrical problems; and Employee negligence.
I	Collapse/ damage to structures	Earthquakes; and Vehicular collisions due to driver negligence on public roads.

J	Traffic Incident Collisions onsite and offsite with vehicles involved in operation of Project	Driver negligence or failure of vehicular operations on site roads. Traffic Management not implemented
K	Industrial Accident-Fire/Gas explosion	Petrochemical Fires causing personal injury, structural damage and forest fires. Damage to GNI infrastructure
L	Loss of Critical Infrastructure	Electrical fault at substation bay Damage to GNI infrastructure

15.4.1.1.4 Assessment of Effect During Decommissioning

Six risks specific to the decommissioning of the Proposed Development have been identified and are presented in Table 15-7.

Table 15-7 Risk Register – Decommissioning Phase

Risk ID	Potential Risk	Possible Cause
Potential vulnerability to disaster risks		
M	Severe Weather Risk to decommissioning activity on site	Extreme weather- periods of heavy rainfall, taking into account climate change and strong winds
N	Flooding of site High levels of surface water on site	Extreme weather- periods of heavy rainfall, taking into account climate change and strong winds
Potential to cause accidents and /or disasters.		
O	Traffic Incident Collisions onsite and offsite with vehicles involved in construction of Project	Driver negligence or failure of vehicular operations on site roads. Traffic Management not implemented

P	Contamination Discharge or spillage of fuel, chemical solvents into watercourse or percolated to groundwater	Fuel spillage during delivery to site. Failure of fuel storage tank or tanks in plant and machinery and vehicles. Drainage and seepage water resulting from infrastructure excavation; Erosion of sediment from emplaced site drainage channels.
Q	Industrial Accident - Fire/Gas explosion	Petrochemical Fires causing personal injury, structural damage and forest fires. Damage to GNI infrastructure
R	Loss of Critical Infrastructure	Electrical fault at substation bay Damage to GNI Infrastructure

These risks have been assessed in accordance with the relevant classification (Refer to Table 15-1 and Table 15-2) and the resulting risk analysis is given in Table 15-6.

The risk register is based upon possible risks associated the Proposed Development. As outlined in Section 15.2.3.2, the consequence rating assigned to each potential risk assumes that all proposed mitigation measures and safety procedures have failed to prevent the major accident and/or disaster.

15.4.1.1.5 Assessment of Effect – Summary

Table 15-8 Risk Assessment

Risk ID	Potential Risk	Possible Cause	Environmental Effect	Likelihood Rating	Basis of Likelihood	Consequence Rating	Basis of Consequence	Risk Score (Consequence x Likelihood)
Construction Phase								
A	Severe Weather	Extreme weather- periods of heavy rainfall, taking into account climate change and strong winds	Illness or loss of life; Sedimentation of nearby watercourse Damage to, or depletion of aquatic habitats and species;	3	The risk of severe weather is unlikely when considering the assessment in Chapter 10 and weather conditions recorded over the last 30 years within the area.	1	The risk of severe weather conditions during the construction phase will result in a minor consequence in that ‘small number of people would be affected’ should a severe weather occur, with ‘no fatalities and a small number of minor injuries with first aid treatment’. No contamination, localised effects.	3
B	Flooding	Extreme weather- periods of heavy rainfall, taking into account	Illness or loss of life; Sedimentation of nearby watercourse	2	The risk of flooding is considered very unlikely when taking into account the baseline	1	The risk of flooding during the construction phase will result in a minor consequence in	2

Risk ID	Potential Risk	Possible Cause	Environmental Effect	Likelihood Rating	Basis of Likelihood	Consequence Rating	Basis of Consequence	Risk Score (Consequence x Likelihood)
		climate change and strong winds	Damage to, or depletion of aquatic habitats and species;		assessment in Chapter 9 of the EIAR and due to no recurring or historic flood incidents being recorded within the Wind Farm site or along the Grid Connection route.		that ‘small number of people would be affected’ should a severe weather occur, with ‘no fatalities and a small number of minor injuries with first aid treatment’ No contamination of environment (e.g. watercourses), localised effects.	
C	Peat Stability	Mismanagement of excavated material on site Extreme weather conditions	Movement of peat within the site; Sedimentation of nearby watercourse; Damage to, or depletion of aquatic habitats and species;	2	The Proposed Development has been designed to minimise the potential for peat instability and failure. Refer to Appendix 8-1: Geotechnical and Peat Stability Assessment Report	2	The risk of peat instability during the construction phase will result in a limited consequence in that there would be ‘a limited number of people affected’ with ‘localised effects of short duration’. Simple contamination of environment (e.g. watercourses), localised effects of short duration.	2

Risk ID	Potential Risk	Possible Cause	Environmental Effect	Likelihood Rating	Basis of Likelihood	Consequence Rating	Basis of Consequence	Risk Score (Consequence x Likelihood)
D	Traffic Incident	<p>Driver negligence or failure of vehicular operations on site roads.</p> <p>Traffic Management not implemented or not adhered</p>	Injury or loss of life.	3	<p>A limited number of vehicles will be permitted on the site as part of the construction phase</p> <p>As such, it can be determined that there is some ‘opportunity, reason or means’ for a vehicle collision to occur on site, ‘at some time.’ An unlikely risk is therefore predicted.</p>	1	A minor consequence is predicted. Having regard to on-site speed limits and vehicular movements, a ‘small number of people would be affected’ should a vehicular collision occur, with ‘no fatalities and small number of minor injuries with first aid treatment.’	3
E	Contamination	<p>Fuel spillage during delivery to site.</p> <p>Failure of fuel storage tank or tanks in plant and machinery and vehicles.</p> <p>Drainage and seepage water resulting from</p>	<p>Damage to, or depletion of aquatic habitats and species</p> <p>Release of suspended solids to surface watercourses and could result in an increase in the suspended sediment load, resulting in increased turbidity which in turn could affect the water quality</p>	2	As outlined in Chapter 4 and the CEMP Appendix 4-3 , fuel will be stored on-site but in a bunded area to ensure containment and prevent spillages of fuel. No fuels, chemicals or solvents will be stored outside of the confines of the site	2	The risk of a fuel spillage or impact on surround drainage during the construction will result in a limited consequence in that there would be ‘a limited number of people affected’ with ‘localised effects of short duration’ through the use of bunded containment areas and	4

Risk ID	Potential Risk	Possible Cause	Environmental Effect	Likelihood Rating	Basis of Likelihood	Consequence Rating	Basis of Consequence	Risk Score (Consequence x Likelihood)
		<p>infrastructure excavation;</p> <p>Stockpiled excavated material providing a point source of exposed sediment;</p> <p>Construction of the Project resulting in entrainment of sediment from the excavations during construction; and,</p> <p>Erosion of sediment from emplaced site drainage channels</p>	and fish stocks of downstream water bodies		Setback distances from sensitive hydrological features means that adequate room is maintained for the proposed drainage mitigation measures as detailed in Chapter 9 Water .		<p>proposed drainage mitigation measures during construction.</p> <p>Simple contamination of environment (e.g. watercourses), localised effects of short duration.</p>	
F	Industrial Accident - Fire/Gas explosion	Equipment or infrastructure failure;	<p>Illness or loss of life;</p> <p>Damage to, or depletion of habitats and species; and</p>	2	As outlined in Chapter 4 Description and Appendix 4-3 CEMP, fuel will not be stored on-site post construction	2	Should a fire/explosion occur at the site, a limited consequence in that there would be ‘a limited number of	4

Risk ID	Potential Risk	Possible Cause	Environmental Effect	Likelihood Rating	Basis of Likelihood	Consequence Rating	Basis of Consequence	Risk Score (Consequence x Likelihood)
		<p>Fuel spillage/storage</p> <p>Electrical problems; and</p> <p>Employee negligence</p> <p>Damage to GNI infrastructure</p>	Impacts on ambient air quality.		<p>therefore fuel is not considered to be a significant fire risk.</p> <p>In accordance with Chapter 19 of the Safety, Health and Welfare at Work Act 2005 (the 2005 Act), the development shall be subject to a fire safety risk assessment which would assist in the identification of any major risks of fire on site.</p> <p>As detailed in Chapter 14 Material Assets. GNI requires construction zone setbacks from gas pipeline infrastructure to prevent potential loss/damage to infrastructure and to prevent health and environmental impacts. The required construction zone</p>		<p>people affected’ with ‘localised effects of short duration’ due to the nature of the Proposed Development and the lack of infrastructure or fuel storage during operation that would result in any such incident. There will be ‘normal community functioning’ in the area with ‘some inconvenience’.</p> <p>Simple contamination of environment (e.g. watercourses), localised effects of short duration.</p> <p>Works proposed within the gas infrastructure corridors will be shallow excavations e.g road upgrades and road crossing points. All best practise and mitigation measures will be strictly adhered to. GNI will be</p>	

Risk ID	Potential Risk	Possible Cause	Environmental Effect	Likelihood Rating	Basis of Likelihood	Consequence Rating	Basis of Consequence	Risk Score (Consequence x Likelihood)
					setbacks will be adhered to during the construction activities. Where works fall within these areas, e.g., road upgrades, and crossing points, the required notification timeframes will be provided to GNI. Please see section 14.6.2 for mitigation measures pertaining to health and safety and best practise measures when working near GNI infrastructure. All mitigation measures listed therein will be adhered.		notified in advance of all works as requested.	
Operational Phase								
G	Contamination	A vehicular incident, refuelling incident, wastewater or sewage transportation in	Damage to, or depletion of aquatic habitats and species Release of suspended solids to surface watercourses and could result in an increase in	2	As outlined in Chapter 4 Description and Appendix 4-3 CEMP , fuel will be stored on-site but in a bunded area to ensure containment and prevent spillages of fuel. No fuels, chemicals or	2	The risk of a fuel spillage or impact on surrounding drainage during the operational stage will result in a limited consequence in that there would be ‘a limited number of	4

Risk ID	Potential Risk	Possible Cause	Environmental Effect	Likelihood Rating	Basis of Likelihood	Consequence Rating	Basis of Consequence	Risk Score (Consequence x Likelihood)
		the operational phase.	the suspended sediment load, resulting in increased turbidity which in turn could affect the water quality and fish stocks of downstream water bodies		solvents will be stored outside of the confines of the site Setback distances from sensitive hydrological features means that adequate room is maintained for the proposed drainage measures as detailed in Chapter 8		people affected' with 'localised effects of short duration' through the use of bunded containment areas during operation. Simple contamination of environment (e.g. watercourses), localised effects of short duration.	
H	Industrial Accident - Fire/Gas explosion	Equipment or infrastructure failure; Fuel spillage/storage Electrical problems; and Employee negligence Damage to GNI infrastructure	Illness or loss of life; Damage to, or depletion of habitats and species; and Impacts on ambient air quality.	2	As outlined in Chapter 4 , fuel will not be stored on-site post construction therefore fuel is not considered to be a significant fire risk. In accordance with Chapter 19 of the Safety, Health and Welfare at Work Act 2005 (the 2005 Act), the development shall be subject to a fire safety risk assessment which would assist in the	2	Should a fire/explosion occur at the site, a limited consequence in that there would be 'a limited number of people affected' with 'localised effects of short duration' due to the nature of the Proposed Development and the lack of infrastructure or fuel storage during operation that would result in any such incident. There will be 'normal community	4

Risk ID	Potential Risk	Possible Cause	Environmental Effect	Likelihood Rating	Basis of Likelihood	Consequence Rating	Basis of Consequence	Risk Score (Consequence x Likelihood)
					<p>identification of any major risks of fire on site.</p> <p>During the operational phase there will be no requirements to interact with the gas pipeline corridor except for the very limited requirement to upgrade wind farm access roads in the areas. All mitigation measures as detailed in Chapter 14 Material Assets for the construction phase will be adhered and GNI will be notified prior to any works.</p>		<p>functioning’ in the area with ‘some inconvenience’</p> <p>Simple contamination of environment (e.g. watercourses), localised effects of short duration.</p> <p>Unlikely interference with GNI infrastructure due to occasional requirement to upgrade wind farm tracks in the area. Works required would be shallow in nature. All mitigation measures would be strictly adhered to.</p>	
I	Collapse/ damage to structures	<p>Landslide/ Earthquake; and</p> <p>Extreme weather conditions such as flooding and storms.</p>	<p>Injury or loss of life.</p> <p>Movement of peat within the site;</p> <p>Sedimentation of nearby watercourse;</p>	2	<p>According to the Irish National Seismic Network (INSN), earthquakes measuring ~2 on the Richter Scale are “normal” in terms of seismicity in Ireland. These are known as</p>	1	<p>The risk of infrastructure collapse or damage to structures during the decommissioning phase will result in a minor consequence in that ‘small number of people would be affected, with</p>	1

Risk ID	Potential Risk	Possible Cause	Environmental Effect	Likelihood Rating	Basis of Likelihood	Consequence Rating	Basis of Consequence	Risk Score (Consequence x Likelihood)
		<p>Vehicular collisions due to driver negligence</p> <p>Mismanagement of excavated material on site</p>	Damage to, or depletion of aquatic habitats and species;		<p>microearthquakes; they are not commonly felt by people and are generally recorded only on local seismographs. As such, buildings in Ireland are extremely unlikely to be damaged or collapse due to seismic activity.</p> <p>Having regard to public speed limits within the site, it is not predicted that any collision of vehicles and any infrastructure would result in significant damage/collapse.</p> <p>The Proposed Development has been designed to take into account any issues on peat or spoil stability</p>		<p>‘no fatalities and a small number of minor injuries with first aid treatment’</p> <p>No contamination of environment (e.g. watercourses), localised effects.</p>	
J	Traffic Incident	Driver negligence or failure of vehicular	Injury or loss of life.	3	A limited number of vehicles will be permitted on the site as	1	A minor consequence is predicted. Having regard to on-site speed	3

Risk ID	Potential Risk	Possible Cause	Environmental Effect	Likelihood Rating	Basis of Likelihood	Consequence Rating	Basis of Consequence	Risk Score (Consequence x Likelihood)
		<p>operations on site roads.</p> <p>Traffic Management not implemented</p>			<p>part of the operation phase</p> <p>As such, it can be determined that there is some ‘opportunity, reason or means’ for a vehicle collision to occur on site, ‘at some time.’ An unlikely risk is therefore predicted.</p>		<p>limits and vehicular movements, a ‘small number of people would be affected’ should a vehicular collision occur, with ‘no fatalities and small number of minor injuries with first aid treatment.’</p>	
K	Loss of Critical Infrastructure	<p>Equipment or infrastructure failure;</p> <p>Electrical problems; and</p> <p>Employee negligence</p> <p>Landslide/ Earthquake; and</p> <p>Extreme weather conditions such as flooding and storms</p>	Injury or loss of life	1	<p>Eirgrid operate the grid from National Control Centres matching electricity production to customer demand, switching from synchronous to non-synchronous where required to ensure no power outages.</p> <p>The Proposed Development will be connected to a single bay at Bellacorick 110kV substation and any shortages or failures</p>	2	<p>Should a power failure occur at the Bellacorick 110kV substation, it will result in a limited number of people affected- localised effects of short duration</p> <p>Unlikely interference with GNI infrastructure due to occasional requirement to upgrade wind farm tracks in the area. Works required would be shallow in nature. All mitigation</p>	2

Risk ID	Potential Risk	Possible Cause	Environmental Effect	Likelihood Rating	Basis of Likelihood	Consequence Rating	Basis of Consequence	Risk Score (Consequence x Likelihood)
		Damage to GNI infrastructure.			<p>will not impact other connections to the same substation</p> <p>During the operational phase there will be no requirements to interact with the gas pipeline corridor except for the very limited requirement to upgrade wind farm access roads in the areas. All mitigation measures as detailed in Chapter 14 Material Assets for the construction phase will be adhered and GNI will be notified prior to any works.</p>		measures would be strictly adhered to.	
Decommissioning Phase								
L	Severe Weather	Extreme weather-periods of heavy rainfall, taking into account climate change and strong winds	Illness or loss of life; Sedimentation of nearby watercourse	2	The risk of severe weather is unlikely when considering the assessment in Chapter 10 and weather conditions recorded	1	The risk of severe weather conditions during the decommissioning phase will result in a minor consequence in that	2

Risk ID	Potential Risk	Possible Cause	Environmental Effect	Likelihood Rating	Basis of Likelihood	Consequence Rating	Basis of Consequence	Risk Score (Consequence x Likelihood)
			Damage to, or depletion of aquatic habitats and species;		over the last 30 years within the area.		‘small number of people would be affected’ should a severe weather occur, with ‘no fatalities and a small number of minor injuries with first aid treatment’. No contamination of environment (e.g. watercourses), localised effects.	
M	Flooding	Extreme weather-periods of heavy rainfall, taking into account climate change and strong winds	Illness or loss of life; Sedimentation of nearby watercourse Damage to, or depletion of aquatic habitats and species;	2	The risk of flooding is considered very unlikely when taking into account the baseline assessment in Chapter 8 of the EIAR and due to no recurring or historic flood incidents are recorded within the Wind Farm site or along the Grid Connection route.	1	The risk of flooding during the decommissioning phase will result in a minor consequence in that ‘small number of people would be affected’ should a severe weather occur, with ‘no fatalities and a small number of minor injuries with first aid treatment’. No contamination of environment (e.g.	2

Risk ID	Potential Risk	Possible Cause	Environmental Effect	Likelihood Rating	Basis of Likelihood	Consequence Rating	Basis of Consequence	Risk Score (Consequence x Likelihood)
							watercourses), localised effects.	
N	Traffic Incident	<p>Driver negligence or failure of vehicular operations on site roads.</p> <p>Traffic Management not implemented</p>	Injury or loss of life.	3	<p>A limited number of vehicles will be permitted on the site as part of the decommissioning phase</p> <p>As such, it can be determined that there is some ‘opportunity, reason or means’ for a vehicle collision to occur on site, ‘at some time.’ An unlikely risk is therefore predicted.</p>	1	A minor consequence is predicted. Having regard to on-site speed limits and vehicular movements, a ‘small number of people would be affected’ should a vehicular collision occur, with ‘no fatalities and small number of minor injuries with first aid treatment.’	3
O	Contamination	<p>Fuel spillage during delivery to site.</p> <p>Failure of fuel storage tank or tanks in plant and machinery and vehicles.</p>	<p>Damage to, or depletion of aquatic habitats and species</p> <p>Release of suspended solids to surface watercourses and could result in an increase in the suspended sediment load, resulting in increased turbidity</p>	2	As outlined in Chapter 4 , fuel will be stored on-site but in a bunded area to ensure containment and prevent spillages of fuel. No fuels, chemicals or solvents will be stored outside of the confines of the site	2	The risk of a fuel spillage or impact on surrounding drainage during the decommissioning stage will result in a limited consequence in that there would be ‘a limited number of people affected’ with ‘localised effects of short	4

Risk ID	Potential Risk	Possible Cause	Environmental Effect	Likelihood Rating	Basis of Likelihood	Consequence Rating	Basis of Consequence	Risk Score (Consequence x Likelihood)
		<p>Drainage and seepage water resulting from infrastructure removal;</p> <p>Erosion of sediment from site drainage channels.</p>	<p>which in turn could affect the water quality and fish stocks of downstream water bodies</p>		<p>Setback distances from sensitive hydrological features means that adequate room is maintained for the proposed drainage measures as detailed in Chapter 8.</p>		<p>duration’ through the use of bunded containment areas during operation.</p> <p>Simple contamination of environment (e.g. watercourses), localised effects of short duration.</p>	
P	Industrial Accident-Fire/gas explosion	<p>Equipment or infrastructure failure;</p> <p>Fuel spillage/storage</p> <p>Electrical problems; and</p> <p>Employee negligence</p> <p>Damage to GNI infrastructure</p>	<p>Injury or loss of life</p> <p>Structural damage</p> <p>Forest fires</p> <p>Air Pollution</p> <p>Damage to, or depletion of habitats and species</p> <p>Contamination</p>	2	<p>As outlined in Chapter 4, fuel will not be stored on-site post construction therefore fuel is not considered to be a significant fire risk.</p> <p>In accordance with Chapter 19 of the Safety, Health and Welfare at Work Act 2005 (the 2005 Act), the development shall be subject to a fire safety risk assessment which would assist in the identification of any</p>	2	<p>Should a fire/explosion occur at the site, a limited consequence in that there would be ‘a limited number of people affected’ with ‘localised effects of short duration’ due to the nature of the Proposed Development and the lack of infrastructure or fuel storage during operation that would result in any such incident. There will be ‘normal community functioning’ in the area</p>	4

Risk ID	Potential Risk	Possible Cause	Environmental Effect	Likelihood Rating	Basis of Likelihood	Consequence Rating	Basis of Consequence	Risk Score (Consequence x Likelihood)
					<p>major risks of fire on site.</p> <p>All mitigation and best practise measures when working near GNI infrastructure as listed in Chapter 14 Material Assets will be strictly adhered, Notice will be given to GNI prior to any decommissioning works commencing.</p>		<p>with ‘some inconvenience’.</p> <p>Simple contamination of environment (e.g. watercourses), localised effects of short duration.</p> <p>Unlikely interference with GNI infrastructure due to occasional requirement to upgrade wind farm tracks in the area. Works required would be shallow in nature. All mitigation measures would be strictly adhered to.</p>	
Q	Loss of Critical Infrastructure	<p>Equipment or infrastructure failure;</p> <p>Electrical problems; and</p> <p>Employee negligence</p>	Injury or loss of life	1	Eirgrid operate the grid from National Control Centres matching electricity production to customer demand, switching from synchronous to non-synchronous where required to ensure no power outages.	2	<p>Should a power failure occur at the Bellacorick 110kV substation, it will result in a limited number of people affected- localised effects of short duration.</p> <p>Unlikely interference with GNI infrastructure</p>	2

Risk ID	Potential Risk	Possible Cause	Environmental Effect	Likelihood Rating	Basis of Likelihood	Consequence Rating	Basis of Consequence	Risk Score (Consequence x Likelihood)
		<p>Damage to GNI infrastructure</p> <p>Landslide/ Earthquake; and</p> <p>Extreme weather conditions such as flooding and storms.</p>			<p>The Proposed Development will be connected to a single bay at Bellacorick 110kV substation and any shortages or failures will not impact other connections to the same substation.</p> <p>All mitigation and best practise measures when working near GNI infrastructure as listed in Chapter 14 Material Assets will be strictly adhered, Notice will be given to GNI prior to any decommissioning works commencing.</p>		<p>due to occasional requirement to upgrade wind farm tracks in the area. Works required would be shallow in nature. All mitigation measures would be strictly adhered to.</p>	

The risk assessment for each of the potential risks identified are consolidated in Table 15-9 which provides their ‘risk score.’ A corresponding risk matrix is provided in Table 15-10, which is colour coded in order to provide an indication of the critical nature of each risk. As outlined in Section 15.2.3.2, the red zone represents ‘high risk’ scenarios’, the amber zone represents ‘medium risk scenarios and the green zone represents ‘low risk scenarios.

Table 15-9 Risk Scores

Risk ID	Potential Risk	Likelihood Rating	Consequence Rating	Risk Score
Construction Phase				
A	Severe Weather	2	1	2
B	Flooding	2	1	2
C	Peat Stability	2	2	4
D	Traffic Incident	3	1	3
E	Contamination	2	2	4
F	Industrial Accident e.g. gas explosion brought about by damage to GNI Infrastructure	2	2	4
Operational Phase				
G	Contamination	2	2	4
H	Industrial Accident	2	2	4
I	Collapse/ damage to structures	2	1	1
J	Traffic Incident	2	1	2
K	Loss of Critical Infrastructure including damage to GNI Infrastructure	1	2	2
Decommissioning Phase				
L	Severe Weather	2	1	2
M	Flooding	2	1	2
N	Traffic Incident	3	1	3
O	Contamination	2	2	4
P	Industrial Accident g. gas explosion brought about by	2	2	4

	damage to GNI Infrastructure			
Q	Loss of Critical Infrastructure including damage to GNI Infrastructure	1	2	2

Table 15-10 Risk Matrix

		Consequence Rating				
		Minor	Limited	3. Serious	4. Very Serious	5 Catastrophic
Likelihood Rating	5. Very Likely					
	4. Likely					
	3. Unlikely	D,N				
	2. Very Unlikely	A,C,I,L,J,M	B,E,F,G,H,O,P			
	1. Extremely Unlikely		K,Q			

Table 15-10, presents the potential risks identified during the construction, operation and decommissioning of the Proposed Development all or which can be classified as ‘low risk scenarios.’

The scenario with the highest risk score in terms of a major accident and/or natural disaster during the construction, operation and decommissioning phase of the Proposed Development is identified below:

Peat Stability During Construction

There is a potential risk of peat instability during the construction of the Proposed Development. The risk of peat instability was given a risk score of 4. The risk of peat instability has been minimised through the careful design of the Proposed Development and will be further limited through the implementation of the best practice construction control measures outlined in Appendix 8-1 of the EIAR.

The risk of peat instability is ‘very unlikely’ to occur and will have ‘limited’ consequences should it do so, representing a ‘low-risk scenario’ during the construction phase.

Contamination During Construction, Operation and Decommissioning

There is a potential risk of contamination from site activities during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases from potential release of hydrocarbons. The risk of contamination was given a risk score of 4. However, as outlined in Chapter 4 Section 4.3.10, measures are proposed and will be implemented to reduce the risk of accidental spillage and contamination of pollution risk to groundwater, surface water and associated ecosystems, and to terrestrial ecology.

The risk of contamination is ‘very unlikely’ to occur and will have ‘limited’ consequences should it do so, representing a ‘low-risk scenario’ during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.

Industrial Accident-Fire/Gas Explosion During Construction, Operation and Decommissioning

There is a potential risk of fire/explosion at the Proposed Development site. However, as outlined in Section 15.2.1, the scope of this assessment has been based on the understanding that the Proposed Development will be designed, built and operated in line with current best practice.

As mentioned, the GNI Corrib gas pipeline cuts through the southern portion of the site boundary which could, if works were carried out without necessary mitigation and best practise measures, cause gas explosion or loss/damage to the GNI infrastructure. However, construction works in the immediate of the gas pipeline include shallow excavations for road upgrades adjacent to the gas pipeline and construction of new roads over the pipeline. In line with GNI requirements, prior to the commencement of any works within the gas pipeline area, GNI will be notified. All mitigation measures as detailed in Chapter 14, Section 14.2.6.2 will be strictly adhered to for all phases of the Proposed Development.

Further, in accordance with Chapter 19 of the Safety, Health and Welfare at Work Acts 2005 (as amended), the Proposed Development shall be subject to a fire safety risk assessment which will assist in the identification of any major risks of fire on site.

Therefore, the risk of fire/explosion occurring at the Proposed Development resulting in a major accident and/or disaster was given a risk score of 4. This indicates a scenario that is ‘very unlikely’ to occur and having ‘limited’ consequences should it do so, representing a ‘low-risk scenario’ during the operational phase.

15.4.2 Mitigation Measures

As outlined in Section 15.4.1, the scenario with the highest risk score in terms of the occurrence of major accident and/or disaster was identified as ‘Contamination’ of the Proposed Development site and risk of ‘Industrial Accident- Fire/Gas Explosion’ during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.

The Proposed Development has been designed and built in accordance with the best practice measures set out in this EIAR and, as such, mitigation against the risk of major accidents and/or disasters is embedded through the design.

As discussed, the application for the Proposed Development is accompanied by a CEMP which sets out details of the environmental controls to be implemented on site. The CEMP sets out the Emergency Response Procedure to be adopted in the event of an emergency including contamination, health and safety and environmental protection. The CEMP provides details on all mitigation and monitoring measures to be actioned prior to construction, during the construction, operation and decommissioning phase. The CEMP will be subject to ongoing review through regular environmental auditing and site inspections. This will confirm the efficacy and implementation of all mitigation measures and commitments identified in the application documentation.

The CEMP includes an Emergency Response Plan (ERP). It provides details of procedures to be adopted in the event of an emergency relating to health & safety or environmental protection. The site ERP includes details on the response required and the responsibilities of all personnel in the event of an emergency. Please see Chapter 4 and Appendix 4-3 of the EIAR for details.

15.4.3 Residual Effects

The risk of a major accident and/or disaster during the construction of the Proposed Development is considered ‘low’ in accordance with the ‘*Guide to Risk Assessment in Major Emergency Management*’ (DoEHLG, 2010).

It is considered that when the mitigation and monitoring measures outlined in the CEMP are implemented and adhered to there will not be significant residual effect(s) associated with the construction, operation and decommissioning of the Proposed Development.

15.4.4 Assessment of Cumulative Effects

15.4.4.1 Cumulative Impact Assessment

A search in relation to projects that may have the potential to result in a cumulative impact with the Proposed Development on the environment was carried out as part of the EIAR. The Proposed Development has been considered, cumulatively with the projects set out in Chapter 2, Section 2.8 of the EIAR.

Following a detailed assessment of the potential for any further impact when considered cumulatively with any or all of the projects, the Proposed Development, with mitigation measures in place, was found to have no potential for significant cumulative effects and therefore no increase in the vulnerability of the Proposed Development to major accidents and/or natural disasters.